BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

.

ź1

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

ARMANDO MEDELLIN CONTRERAS, Boxer

and

RUDY TELLEZ, Manager.

Case No. 914 3/6

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 9:00 a.m. on September 20, 2000 at the office of the Commission in Los Angeles pursuant to written notice to all parties. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General acted as counsel to the Arbitrator. Armando Medellin Contreras, Licensed Boxer 13028 (Hereinafter "Boxer") appeared and represented himself. Mr. Contreras was assisted in his presentation by Leonel Contreras and Miguel Angel Gomez. Manager Rudy Tellez (Hereinafter "Manager") was present with witnesses Victor Pulido and Jim Montoya and prepared to proceed. Also present and sworn were Mr. Alex Martinez and Chief Inspector Dean Lohuis. Based upon the Notices to the parties, and following the taking of testimony of the parties and other witnesses under oath, and following receipt of documents in evidence and upon taking official notice

8

11

12

10

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26 27

of the records and proceedings of the California State Athletic Commission and following submission of the parties of oral arguments on the evidence and due consideration thereof, the Arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.
- 2. On June 2, 1999, Boxer and manager appeared before an official of the Commission in Los Angeles and executed a standard boxer/manager contract, the term of which was three (3) years. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about June 14, 1999.
- 3. In or about June 2000, Boxer requested arbitration of the contract pursuant to Section C.4 of said agreement, but specifying no particular violations of either laws governing boxing or regulations of the Commission. Said request was submitted jointly with two other boxers who also contracted with Manager at different dates.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing by written notice and continued initially at the request of Manager. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the hearing date was properly given to both parties.
- Boxer had an amateur record of 94 wins and 21 losses. Boxer is currently 21 years old and has an overall professional record of five wins, two losses and a draw with two of his wins by knock out. During the course of his contract with Manager, Boxer has had six bouts and was the winner in four of them. It was the testimony of Chief Inspector Lohuis that Boxer has potential in boxing, but that he needs further training. Boxer started out as a four round fighter and has currently reached the six round level with one bout at 10 rounds (which was a loss). Boxer is fighting at about 123 lbs.
- Both Boxer and co-petitioner Miguel Angel Gomez testified to their dealings with Manager. Essentially both boxers complained that they

believed that Manager forced them to assume the costs of outfitting them with robes, trunks, shoes, mouthpieces and other equipment as well as paying their licensing and examination expenses. Both were adamant that they believed this was an obligation of managers in general.

- 7. Both Boxer and Mr. Gomez stated that they did not trust Manager and believed that he was unreasonable. Both stated that they believed that Manager had arranged bouts for them on short notice with opponents who were above their level in skill and experience. Both men stated that they would refuse to fight for Manager and that they would wait out the terms of their respective contracts, if need be.
- and with Mr. Gomez and that he had provided each of them with equipment. Manager produced receipts for robes, trunks, shoes and mouthpieces for both men. Manager testified that he was in the business of producing custom mouthpieces for boxers and other athletes and that he was well known in the boxing world for this work. Manager testified that while he did charge boxer and Mr. Gomez \$35.00 for their custom mouthpieces, the rate he charged them was far below the \$135.00 figure he regularly charged to do the same thing for boxers not managed by him. Manager testified that he was a conscientious manger and took pains to choose opponents for his fighters and that he had worked hard to develop the career of both boxer and Mr. Gomez. Manager testified that he placed the value of the contract he had with Boxer at \$2000, based upon the level of skill exhibited by him at this point in his career.
- 9. Chief Inspector Dean Lohuis testified that he was official before whom boxers and managers appeared to sign contracts and that he was very systematic in his explanations and admonitions to both as to what the duties and obligations were under a boxer-manager contract. He testified that while there were many variations on the obligations of boxers and managers, who was responsible for paying for

equipment, examinations and licensure, these obligations were not set in law or regulation and in some cases managers paid these expenses but that unless something was specifically said in the contract, it was not necessarily industry practice or custom that a manager was obligated to pay for such items. Chief Inspector Lohuis said that he believed that this was something he mentioned in his discussions with boxers and managers at the time they signed contracts.

- 10. Mr. Martinez testified that as a promoter he was familiar with Manager and had arranged with him for boxers to fight on his cards. Mr. Martinez described Manager as "picky" in terms of opponents for his boxers and very experienced in ascertaining who would be a good opponent for one of his boxers.
- 11. Mr. Montoya testified that he was a matchmaker and cornerman that he was familiar with both boxer and Mr. Gomez, as well as with manager. Mr. Montoya verified that he had been paid monies by manager for trunks, robes and shoes for both men. Mr. Montoya testified that he had long experience in boxing and that neither boxer nor Mr. Gomez understood the nature of boxing sufficiently. Mr. Montoya opined that manager had always acted in the best interests of both men and that it was only their inexperience that prevented them from seeing this. Mr. Montoya stated that he believed that manager had correctly chosen fights for boxer and Mr. Gomez and that if permitted to do so, would help both to develop their careers to the extent possible.
- 12. On rebuttal boxer and Mr. Gomez both reiterated that they felt that their relationship with manager was oppressive and that they would continue to refuse to fight for manager even if it meant waiting out the term of the current boxer manager contract.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration and pursuant to the boxer-manager contract between the parties thereto, may issue an appropriate order.
 - 2. The boxer has not met his burden of proving that the manager has

engaged in illegal conduct in violation of the laws and regulations of the Commission which would establish legal cause for issuance of an order terminating the contract.

- 3. However, the evidence has established that the personal relationship between the boxer and manager has deteriorated to the point where an impasse exists has been created which is not good for either party or for boxing in general.
- 4. A boxer-manager contract by its very nature is a contract for the performance of personal services and contains an implied covenant and promise by both parties of good will and mutual cooperation, which in this case has been frustrated. The boxer and manager are presently incompatible to the extent that it would be contrary to the best interests of boxing and the boxer to force him to remain under contract until the expiration of the term. It is therefore consistent with the best interests of boxing and the boxer to allow the boxer to terminate the current contract upon terms and conditions which are fair, just and equitable.
- 5. The manager has testified that reasonable costs incurred by him to the present time as well as the likelihood of reimbursement from boxer's future purses is the sum of \$2000. This amount is found to be fair, just and equitable and can either be paid by boxer or any future manager or may come from boxer's purses.
 - 6. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator hereby issues the following:

ORDER

- 1. The boxer manager contract between Armando Medellin Contreras, Licensed Boxer LB 13028 and Manager Rudy Tellez which was signed on June 2, 1999 is ordered to be terminated. Boxer shall pay to manager the sum of \$2000.00
- Payment of the \$2000.00 shall be accomplished
 by the Commission withholding one-third of each future purse earned by the boxer in
 California, or by the commission in any sister jurisdiction which recognizes the California

Commission, and causing the same to be paid to Rudy Tellez until the balance called for in this order is paid in full. Upon the effective date of this decision, the Commission shall release to Manager Tellez the proceeds of any manager's share of any purses which have been withheld pending determination of the requested arbitration.

- 3. Should the boxer seek to obtain another manager at any time prior to the full payment or satisfaction of the award, the entire unpaid balance, if any exists at that time, shall be due and owing and some accommodation shall be made before the boxer will be permitted to enter into a new boxer-manager relationship in California or in any jurisdiction which recognizes the lawful orders of the California Commission and the new manager acknowledge that he or she has been provided with a copy of this decision.
- 4. Boxer and any new manager he obtains shall truthfully report to the Commission the amount of money actually paid to him for each bout wherever it takes place and the failure to accurately and truthfully report and account for purse monies will constitute grounds to suspend the license of boxer as well as the license of any future manager of boxer or any promoter who falsely reports amounts of purse money in any bout agreement or in any bout in which Boxer participates.

This Decision shall become effective on February 1, 2001.

DATED: 14, WO

ROB LYNCH, EXECUTIVE OFFICER STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION

ARBITRATOR

EARL R. PLOWMAN
Deputy Attorney General

Attorney for Arbitrator

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Case Name: Armando M. Contreras, Boxer and Rudy Tellez, Manager

No.: 914 3/6

I declare:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am 18 years of age or over and not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013.

On January 19, 2000, I served the attached: **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR** in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013, for deposit in the United States Postal Service mail that same day in the ordinary course of business, in a sealed envelope, postage fully postpaid, addressed as follows:

Armando Contreras 13902 Larwin Road La Mirada, CA 90638

Rudolph Tellez 2314 W. Main Street Alhambra, CA 91801

Rob Lynch, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct, and this declaration was executed at Los Angeles, California, on January 19, 2001.

GAIL C. GRIFFITH

Typed Name

Signature

E.R.PLOWMAN:gg

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General ANNE L. MENDOZA, Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2569 3 4 Attorneys for Arbitrator 5 6 7 8 BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE 9 STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Arbitration No. 97-2 of the Contract Dispute Between: 12

of the Contract Dispute Between:
)
DECISION OF THE
MIGUEL MARTINEZ, Boxer

and

FRANCISCO ESPINOSA, Co-Manager
)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Miguel Martinez ("Boxer") notified the State Athletic Commission ("Commission") that a dispute existed between him and his manager, Francisco Espinosa ("Manager") concerning their five (5) year boxer-manager contract entered into on September 26, 1994 currently on file with the Commission. The boxer-manager contract is effective from October 24, 1994 through September 25, 1999. The Boxer requested the Commission arbitrate the dispute pursuant to paragraph C.4 of the boxer-manager contract. Commission Vice-Chairman Ernest H. Weiner was the arbitrator appointed by the Commission to hear the matter. Anne L. Mendoza, Deputy Attorney General, acted as legal counsel for the arbitrator. Commissioner Manuel "Cal" Soto acted as an

interpreter for the Boxer. An arbitration hearing was held on May 29, 1997, at the Commission's Los Angeles office at 5757 West Century Boulevard, Los Angeles, California. The Boxer and Manager appeared in person and represented themselves. Evidence, both oral and documentary, was presented and the record left open for presentation of documents by the parties hereto. Those documents having been received, they are made a part of the record.

Official notice is taken of the records on file with the Commission.

Based on the evidence presented and records on file with the Commission, the arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. At all times pertinent herein, the Manager was licensed as a manager in California by the Commission. At all times pertinent herein, the Boxer was licensed as a professional boxer in California by the Commission.
- 2. On September 26, 1994, the Boxer and Manager entered into a five (5) year contract ("boxer-manager contract"). Said contract was filed with and approved by the Commission on October 24, 1994.
- 3. For personal reasons not at all related to the Manager's contractual obligations to the Boxer, the Boxer wishes to be released from all contractual obligations to the Manager over the Manager's objection. In fact, the Boxer refuses to work with the Manager.
 - 4. The Boxer, who is 26 years-old, currently holds a

Latin American title and has an exciting fight style. The

Boxer's record is 38 wins, 12 losses, and 1 draw with 29 wins by

knock-out. Until very recently, the Boxer was ranked in the top
echelon of fighters.

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

- 5. From September 1994 through December 1996, the Manager secured 11 fights for the Boxer, which fights included 6 title bouts. The purses for the California fights alone amounted to \$46,000.00. Collectively, the purses for these 11 fights approximated \$70,000.00.
- 6. Pursuant to the boxer-manager contract, the Manager is entitled to one-third (33 1/3%) of the Boxer's purses earned through September 25, 1999.

* * * * *

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, the arbitrator makes the following:

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The Manager has committed no breach of the boxer-manager contract.
- 2. The relationship between the Boxer and Manager has deteriorated to the point where the boxer-manager contract should be terminated and reasonable compensation awarded the Manager.

* * * * *

WHEREFORE, the following Decision, Order, and Award is made:

- 1. The boxer-manager contract is terminated.
- 2. Miguel Martinez shall pay to Francisco Espinosa one third (33 1/3%) of all future purses earned by him through and

including September 25, 1999.

1

2.

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- through and including September 25, 1999 which purses are earned in California or any jurisdiction that recognizes the Commission, the Commission shall withhold or cause to be withheld one third (33 1/3%) of each such purse and cause the same to be paid to Francisco Espinosa. Should Miguel Martinez obtain a manager at any time through and including September 25, 1999, the amounts of Miguel Martinez' purses withheld or caused to be withheld by the Commission on behalf of Francisco Espinosa shall be in addition to any other managerial shares owed by Miguel Martinez.
- 4. The Commission shall release to Francisco Espinosa all disputed managerial portions of Miguel Martinez' purses withheld by the Commission pending this arbitration.

* * * * *

This decision shall become effective on June 30, 1997.

18 Dated: June 12, 1997

ERNEST H. WEINER, Vice-Chairman State Athletic Commission Arbitrator

Ву

AMNE L. MENDOZA,

Deputy Attorney General

Attorney for Arbitrator

25

26

1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE									
2	Case Name: In the Matter of the Arbitration of the Contract Dispute Between:									
3	MIGUEL MARTINEZ, Boxer and FRANCISCO ESPINOSA, Co-Manager No.: 97-2									
4	I declare:									
5	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am 18									
6	years of age or older and not a party to the within entitled									
7	cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 5th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90013.									
8	On <u>June 13, 1997</u> , I served the attached									
9	DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR									
10	by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope wit									
11	postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:									
12	MIGUEL MARTINEZ 2740 1/2 Cincinnati Street									
13	Los Angeles, CA 90033									
14	FRANCISCO ESPINOSA 2729 Brooklyn Avenue									
15	Los Angeles, CA 90053									
16	ROB LYNCH									
.17	STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33									
18	Sacramento, CA 95825-3217									
19	DEAN LOHUIS STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION									
	5757 West Century Boulevard, Suite 16									
20	Los Angeles, CA 90045									
21	I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and									
22	correct and that this declaration was executed on June 13, 1997 at Los Angeles, California.									
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
24	CAROLYN VILLAREAL									
25	CAROLYN VILLAREAL Signature									
26	. Drange are									

ALM:cv a:\martinez.pos

1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE									
2	Case Name: In the Matter of the Arbitration of the Contract Dispute Between:									
3	MIGUEL MARTINEZ, Boxer and FRANCISCO ESPINOSA, Co-Manager No.: 97-2									
4 5	I declare:									
6 7	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 5th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90013.									
8	On <u>June 13, 1997</u> , I served the attached									
9	DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR									
10	by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at									
11	Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:									
12	WILLIAM E. EASTMAN c/o Pleasanton Police Department									
13	P.O. Box 909 Pleasanton, CA 94566									
14	ERNEST H. WEINER 121 Steuart Street, Suite 405 San Francisco, CA 94105									
15										
16	I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and									
17	I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 13, 1997 at Los Angeles, California.									
18										
19	a Millian X									
20	CAROLYN VILLAREAL Signature									
21	Signature									
22	ALM:cv									
23	a:\martinz2.pos									
24										
25										
26										

Pls. serve copies by regular mail on

Miguel Martinez 2740 1/2 Cincinnati Street Los Angeles, CA 90033

Franciso Espinosa 2729 Brooklyn Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90053

Rob Lynch State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825-3217

Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 West Century Boulevard, Suite 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Pls. bcc:

William E. Eastman c/o Pleasanton Police Department P.O. Box 909 Pleasanton, CA 94566

Ernest H. Weiner 121 Steuart Street, Suite 405 San Francisco, CA 94105

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

No. 98-2

DANIEL PEREZ, Boxer

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

and

ANDREW BARBOSA, Manager

In or about April 30, 1997, the parties executed a standard five (5) year boxer-manager contract between Daniel Perez(aka Daniel "Danny Boy" Perez), hereinafter the "boxer," and Andrew Barbosa, hereinafter the "manager." Said contract was approved by and is on file with the Commission. On December 15, 1997 the boxer requested arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the State Athletic Commission ("Commission"). A copy of the contract and the boxer's request for arbitration was attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record for their licenses.

The arbitration hearing in the above entitled matter was convened before the arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Robert Rosenthal, Esq. a member of the Commission, on July 21, 1998, commencing at 10:30 a.m. at the Athletic Commission's Los Angeles office at 5757 W. Century Blvd., #16, Los Angeles, California pursuant to notice. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney

Manager appeared in person and represented themselves.

Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony and records on file with the Commission, of which official notice is taken by the arbitrator, the arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Both the boxer and the manager are currently licensed by the Commission.
- 2. Both the boxer and the manager were given proper notice of the request for arbitration and the date time and place set for the arbitration .
- 3. The boxer and the manager have known one another for many years and each has a great deal of respect for the talents and abilities of the other. However, in addition to their activities as licensed boxer and manager, each has other employment and this has led to conflicts in the availability of the manager to work with and train boxer. In turn, the demands of his other employment require boxer to train at a time when manager is not normally available nor is manager's gym open at that time.
- 4. While the arbitrator finds that the manager has not engaged in any actionable conduct which would demonstrate a clear legal ground to terminate the contract, the scheduling conflicts of boxer and manager have created a situation which is not good for either boxer or manager and it is in the best interests of boxing to terminate the contract.
 - 5. During the term of the boxer-manager contract now

- before the arbitrator, boxer has had three fights. One of these was arranged for by manager and two were arranged with the assistance of a trainer without the permission of the manager. The trainer was aware of the boxer-manager contract between the parties and refused to act in an improper manner as manager unless and until the Commission acted to terminate the preexisting contract. Boxer did, however, compensate the trainer as if he were the manager from his own pocket.
- 6. The boxer's legal manager did not receive his share of the purses on the last two fights, and the manager's share of one purse is being held by order of the Commission pending resolution of the request for arbitration.
- 7. The manager is entitled to compensation for his efforts on behalf of boxer and agrees that payment of the witheld purse, together with the sum of \$150.00 is just compensation.

 Boxer has agreed to compensate Manager in that amount from his own pocket.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The arbitrator has jurisdiction of both the parties and of the subject matter and may issue an appropriate order.
- 2. Both the boxer and the manager have tried to live up to their obligations under the contract, but the differences in schedules has impaired their professional relationship and for the good of boxing, the contract should be terminated with compensation to the manager.

ORDER

ı	Th∈	e bo	oxer-	-man	age	r co	ntra	.ct	bet	ween	boxe	r I	Danie	1 (Danny	Boy)
Perez	, a	nd	mana	ager	` An	drew	Bar	bos	a,	which	n was	s:	igned	l by	the	
parti	es	on	Apri	il 3	Ο,	1997	, is	te	rmi	nated	ł.					

The boxer shall compensate the manager in the amount of \$150.00 and provide proof to the Commission by copy of the check or a signed receipt from Manager being sent to the Commission at its office in Sacramento within 30 days from the effective date of this order.

All purses currently being held by the Commission by reason of this request for arbitration shall be released to the manager at once.

This decision shall become effective the 27th day of August, 1998.

DATED: 1998

ROBERT ROSENTHAL, ESQ. COMMISSIONER

State Athletic Commission Arbitrator

Ву

EARL R. PLOWMAN

Deputy Attorney General

Arbitrator's Attorney

1.8

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: DANIEL PEREZ and ANDREW BARBOSA, Mgr.;

98-2

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR**, on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Andrew Barbosa 12020 Downey Avenue, #18 Downey, CA 90242

Daniel Perez 6145 Bonfair Lakewood, CA 90712

Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 W. Century Blvd., Suite 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Robert Rosenthal, Commissioner State Athletic Commission 2040 Avenue of the Stars 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90867

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>August 7, 1998</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 7, 1998 at Los Angeles, California.

GAIL C. GRIFFITH

Declarant

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

No. 98-6

10 | JESSE MAGANA, Boxer

DECISION OF THE

and

MARTIN HIGUERA, Manager

In or about April 25, 1995, the parties executed a standard boxer-manager contract between Jesse Magana, hereinafter the "boxer," and Jose Hernandez and Martin Higuera, hereinafter "comanagers." Said contract was approved by and is on file with the Commission.

In 1997 the boxer asked for an arbitration hearing at which Co-Manager, Jose Hernandez was removed from the contract pursuant to a decision of a Commission arbitrator. This decision left Martin Higuera as the sole manager of boxer, but with obligations to pay monies to Jose Hernandez.

On October 13, 1998 the boxer requested arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the State Athletic Commission ("Commission"). A copy

of the contract and the boxer's request for arbitration was

attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail on November 23, 1998 at their addresses of record for their licenses.

The arbitration hearing in the above entitled matter was convened before the arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the Commission, on December 9, 1998, commencing at 11:00a.m. at the Athletic Commission's Los Angeles office at 5757 W. Century Blvd., #16, Los Angeles, California pursuant to written notice served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General served as counsel to the Arbitrators. Boxer and former Co-Manager Jose Hernandez appeared in person. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Manager Martin Higuera. Boxer testified that he believed that manager was aware of the hearing based upon boxer's efforts to contact manager.

Both oral and documentary evidence was received and considered by the arbitrator. Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony and records on file with the Commission, of which official notice is taken by the arbitrator, the arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The boxer and manager are currently licensed by the Commission; however it is noted that during the pendency of the boxer-manager contract, on June 2, 1998 boxer was suspended from fighting by the Commission for failure to comply with the order of the Commission arising from the prior arbitration which mandated payment of 6.5% of all of boxer's purses to Mr.

- 2. The suspension of boxer's license was lifted by the Commission in November, 1998 following the filing of an agreement between boxer and Mr. Hernandez to pay Mr. Hernandez as it was considered in the best interests of all parties to keep boxer working. As a result of information received by the Commission about the failure by manager to pay Mr. Hernandez, his license as a manager was suspended as well. Said suspension remains in effect.
- 3. Both the boxer and the manager were given proper notice of the request for arbitration and the date time and place set for the arbitration.
- 4. Boxer continues to be ranked as a world class boxer and the findings of the 1997 arbitration in this regard are reincorporated
- 5. Boxer seeks termination of his contract with Manager Higuera, citing dishonesty and fraud on the part of Mr. Higuera together with what he believes to be inadequate interest by Mr. Higuera in Boxer's professional career. Boxer testified that due to Mr. Higuera's failure to pay monies to Mr. Hernandez, the boxer's license was suspended. He testified that Mr. Higuera was well aware of the order that Mr. Hernandez was supposed to be paid a percentage of the manager's share of all purses and that Mr. Higuera deliberately avoided that obligation. Boxer also testified that manager repeatedly failed to keep him informed

2.2

about fights and that he had traveled long distances on a couple of occasions only to be told that a fight had been cancelled or changed and that Mr. Higuera had failed to tell him of the change.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

 1. The arbitrator has jurisdiction of both the parties and of the subject matter and may issue an appropriate order pursuant to the contract between the parties.

1.1

1.3

2. The boxer in his testimony has met his burden of proving that Manager Higuera has engaged in dishonest conduct which would establish legal cause for termination of their contract. Specifically, the failure to account for the manager's share owed to Mr. Hernandez from the fights in Mexico is dishonest and a violation of an arbitration order from a prior case in which Mr. Higuera was a party.

2.0

3. The testimony of Boxer about the inability to reach Mr. Higuera to discuss Boxer's career and to keep Boxer up to date on fights does indicate that a problem exists and is also an indication that there is substance to the allegations of a lack of interest in Boxer's career.

ORDER

1. The boxer-manager contract between boxer Jesse Magana and Manager Martin Higuera, which was signed by the parties on April 25, 1995 is ordered terminated.

2. Boxer shall bear the responsibility for the order of the prior arbitration, which was to pay to Jose Hernandez the 33 1/3 % managers share in each of his next four (4) fights. If

3. Payment of the amounts called for in this order shall be accomplished by the Commission withholding the one-third manager's share of each of the next four (4) future purses earned by the boxer in California, or by the Athletic Commission in any sister jurisdiction which recognizes the California Commission, and causing the same to be paid to Jose Hernandez, if this has not already been done.

After these four fights, until April 24, 2000, the Commission, or the Commission of a sister jurisdiction recognizing the California Commission's order, shall divide the managers share of the purse and withhold 6 1/2% of the total purse and cause it to be paid to Mr. Hernandez. The remaining 26 1/2% shall be the manager's share paid to boxer until boxer has a new manager.

- 4. Should the Boxer seek to obtain another manager at any time prior to April 24, 2000, satisfaction of the award, or the remaining obligation, if any exists, shall continue to be due and owing, and some accommodation must be made before the Boxer will be permitted to enter into a new boxer-manager relationship in California or in any jurisdiction which recognizes the lawful orders of the California Commission.
- 5. The staff of the Commission is ordered to report to the arbitrator, in advance, of any proposed California

1.5

1	boxer-manager contract that the Boxer may wish to enter before
2	satisfaction of the award specified herein so that the arbitrator
3	may review the same.
4	This decision shall become effective on the 30th day of
5	April, 1999.
6	DATED: 19, 1999
. 7	ROB LYNCH, Executive Officer
8	State Athletic Commission Arbitrator
9	\sum_{i}
10	By EARL R. PLOWMAN
11	Deputy Attorney General
12	Arbitrator's Attorney
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: <u>JESSE MAGANA</u> and <u>MARTIN HIGUERA</u>; No. 98-6

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Jesse Magana 232 Reagan Way Hanford, CA 93230

Martin Higuera 1281 Temple Drive Hanford, CA 93230

Joe Hernandez 2003 E. Vernon Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90058 Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 Century Blvd., Ste. 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Rob Lynch, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Ste. 33 Sacramento, CA 95825-3217

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>April 21, 1999</u> sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 21, 1999, at Los Angeles, California.

GAIL C. GRIFFI¶

Declarant

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

No. 98-6

JESSE MAGANA, Boxer

DECISION OF THE

and

MARTIN HIGUERA, Manager

13 14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

6

9

1.0

1.1

12

In or about April 25, 1995, the parties executed a standard boxer-manager contract between Jesse Magana, hereinafter the "boxer," and Jose Hernandez and Martin Higuera, hereinafter "comanagers." Said contract was approved by and is on file with the Commission.

19 20

21

22

In 1997 the boxer asked for an arbitration hearing at which Co-Manager, Jose Hernandez was removed from the contract pursuant to a decision of a Commission arbitrator. This decision left Martin Higuera as the sole manager of boxer, but with obligations

23

to pay monies to Jose Hernandez.

24

25

26

27

On October 13, 1998 the boxer requested arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the State Athletic Commission ("Commission"). A copy of the contract and the boxer's request for arbitration was

attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail on November 23, 1998 at their addresses of record for their licenses.

The arbitration hearing in the above entitled matter was convened before the arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the Commission, on December 9, 1998, commencing at 11:00a.m. at the Athletic Commission's Los Angeles office at 5757 W. Century Blvd., #16, Los Angeles, California pursuant to written notice served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General served as counsel to the Arbitrators. Boxer and former Co-Manager Jose Hernandez appeared in person. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Manager Martin Higuera. Boxer testified that he believed that manager was aware of the hearing based upon boxer's efforts to contact manager.

Both oral and documentary evidence was received and considered by the arbitrator. Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony and records on file with the Commission, of which official notice is taken by the arbitrator, the arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The boxer and manager are currently licensed by the Commission; however it is noted that during the pendency of the boxer-manager contract, on June 2, 1998 boxer was suspended from fighting by the Commission for failure to comply with the order of the Commission arising from the prior arbitration which mandated payment of 6.5% of all of boxer's purses to Mr.

1.3

- 2. The suspension of boxer's license was lifted by the Commission in November, 1998 following the filing of an agreement between boxer and Mr. Hernandez to pay Mr. Hernandez as it was considered in the best interests of all parties to keep boxer working. As a result of information received by the Commission about the failure by manager to pay Mr. Hernandez, his license as a manager was suspended as well. Said suspension remains in effect.
- 3. Both the boxer and the manager were given proper notice of the request for arbitration and the date time and place set for the arbitration.
- 4. Boxer continues to be ranked as a world class boxer and the findings of the 1997 arbitration in this regard are reincorporated
- 5. Boxer seeks termination of his contract with Manager Higuera, citing dishonesty and fraud on the part of Mr. Higuera together with what he believes to be inadequate interest by Mr. Higuera in Boxer's professional career. Boxer testified that due to Mr. Higuera's failure to pay monies to Mr. Hernandez, the boxer's license was suspended. He testified that Mr. Higuera was well aware of the order that Mr. Hernandez was supposed to be paid a percentage of the manager's share of all purses and that Mr. Higuera deliberately avoided that obligation. Boxer also testified that manager repeatedly failed to keep him informed

1.1

1.3

2.0

about fights and that he had traveled long distances on a couple of occasions only to be told that a fight had been cancelled or changed and that Mr. Higuera had failed to tell him of the change.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The arbitrator has jurisdiction of both the parties and of the subject matter and may issue an appropriate order pursuant to the contract between the parties.
- 2. The boxer in his testimony has met his burden of proving that Manager Higuera has engaged in dishonest conduct which would establish legal cause for termination of their contract. Specifically, the failure to account for the manager's share owed to Mr. Hernandez from the fights in Mexico is dishonest and a violation of an arbitration order from a prior case in which Mr. Higuera was a party.
- 3. The testimony of Boxer about the inability to reach Mr. Higuera to discuss Boxer's career and to keep Boxer up to date on fights does indicate that a problem exists and is also an indication that there is substance to the allegations of a lack of interest in Boxer's career.

ORDER

- 1. The boxer-manager contract between boxer Jesse Magana and Manager Martin Higuera, which was signed by the parties on April 25, 1995 is ordered terminated.
- 2. Boxer shall bear the responsibility for the order of the prior arbitration, which was to pay to Jose Hernandez the 33 1/3 % managers share in each of his next four (4) fights. If

3. Payment of the amounts called for in this order shall be accomplished by the Commission withholding the one-third manager's share of each of the next four (4) future purses earned by the boxer in California, or by the Athletic Commission in any sister jurisdiction which recognizes the California Commission, and causing the same to be paid to Jose Hernandez, if this has not already been done.

After these four fights, until April 24, 2000, the Commission, or the Commission of a sister jurisdiction recognizing the California Commission's order, shall divide the managers share of the purse and withhold 6 1/2% of the total purse and cause it to be paid to Mr. Hernandez. The remaining 26 1/2% shall be the manager's share paid to boxer until boxer has a new manager.

- 4. Should the Boxer seek to obtain another manager at any time prior to April 24, 2000, satisfaction of the award, or the remaining obligation, if any exists, shall continue to be due and owing, and some accommodation must be made before the Boxer will be permitted to enter into a new boxer-manager relationship in California or in any jurisdiction which recognizes the lawful orders of the California Commission.
- 5. The staff of the Commission is ordered to report to the arbitrator, in advance, of any proposed California

boxer-manager contract that the Boxer may wish to enter before satisfaction of the award specified herein so that the arbitrator may review the same. This decision shall become effective on the 30th day of April, 1999. ROB LYNCH, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission Arbitrator Ву EARL R. PLOWMAN Deputy Attorney General Arbitrator's Attorney

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: JESSE MAGANA and MARTIN HIGUERA

_; No. <u>98-6</u>

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Martin Higuera 1281 Tempe Drive Hanford, CA 93230

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>April 26, 1999</u> sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 26, 1999, at Los Angeles, California.

GAIL C. GRIFFITH

Declarant

FILE

In the Matter of the Arbitration

of Contract Dispute Between:

MARTIN HIGUERA, Co-Manager

JOE HERNANDEZ, Co-Manager

JESSE MAGANA, Boxer

and

and

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No. 97-8

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

In or about April 25, 1995, the parties executed a standard boxer-manager contract between Jesse Magana, hereinafter the "boxer," and Jose Hernandez and Martin Higuera, hereinafter "co-Said contract was approved by and is on file with managers." the Commission. On April 8, 1997 the boxer requested arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the State Athletic Commission ("Commission"). A copy of the contract and the boxer's request for arbitration was attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail on November 26, 1997 at their addresses of record for their licenses.

The arbitration hearing in the above entitled matter was convened before the arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Commissioner Ernest Weiner, Chairman of the Commission, on December 12, 1997, commencing at 2:00 p.m. at the Athletic Commission's Los Angeles office at 5757 W. Century Blvd., #16, Los Angeles, California pursuant to written notice served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record. Also present and participating was Commissioner Robert Rosenthal, Esq.. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General served as counsel to the Arbitrators. Both Boxer and Co- Manager Martin Higuera appeared in person and represented themselves. Co-Manager Jose Hernandez appeared in person and was represented by Frank Ronzio, Esq. attorney at law.

Both oral and documentary evidence was received and considered by the arbitrator. Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony and records on file with the Commission, of which official notice is taken by the arbitrator, the arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The boxer and both co-managers are currently licensed by the Commission; however it is noted that during the pendency of the boxer-manager contract, Co-Manager Jose Hernandez allowed his license to lapse for the year 1997 and did not renew it until September, 1997, when asked to do so by the Assistant Executive Officer of the Commission.
- 2. Both the boxer and the Co-Managers were given proper notice of the request for arbitration and the date time and place set for the arbitration .
- 3. The records of the Commission reflect that Boxer has an overall professional record going back to 1992 of 19 wins, 6 losses and 2 draws. Boxer has had a series of 12 round title bouts and is regarded as a world class boxer. He is currently

ranked number 36 among super bantam weight fighters, down from a ranking of 16th in the world after his win over Alfred Kotey in May, 1997. It is the opinion of the Commission's Chief Inspector that Boxer's record as a world class main event fighter is sufficiently established at the present time but that his career is at a point that it is not clear whether he will again be a contender for a title. Boxer has had one WBO and seven NABO title bouts during the period from June, 1995--August, 1997 with purses totaling \$108,500.00.

4. Boxer seeks termination of his contract with Co-Manager Hernandez, citing inadequate what he believes to be inadequate interest by Mr. Hernandez in Boxer's professional career due to other commitments by Mr. Hernandez to boxing organizations. Boxer testified that due to Mr. Hernandez taking a position as first Vice-President of the World Boxing Organization (WBO) and then President of the North American Boxing Organization, that Mr. Hernandez was conflicted in terms of his ability to get matches for Boxer. Boxer also claims an inability to get in touch with Mr. Hernandez. Boxer stated that he wishes to be managed by Co-Manager Martin Higuera.

In response to questions by the Arbitrator, Boxer admitted that Co-Manager Hernandez had arranged a title fight with Antonio Barrera which was broadcast on HBO; however he complained that his most recent fight in August, 1997 against Marius Frias had been the work of Mr. Higuera, his other manager.

5. Manager Jose Hernandez testified that he believed that he had done a good job for Boxer and explained in detail how he

1.1

6. It was testified that at the present time the Commission has retained one-half of the manager's share from the most recent bout by Boxer. It was testified to that this fraction was selected because Boxer had no dispute over monies to be paid to Mr. Higuera, the other manager. It was not established by testimony that either manager had obtained "stepaside" money from any promoter or sanctioning organization to permit another fighter to take Boxer's turn at a title bout.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The arbitrator has jurisdiction of both the parties and of the subject matter and may issue an appropriate order pursuant to the contract between the parties.
- 2. The boxer in his testimony has not met his burden of proving that Co-manager Hernandez has engaged in any conduct which would establish legal cause for termination of their contract. In fact, the testimony and letters demonstrate that Mr. Hernandez made a very shrewd and beneficial career move in forgoing lesser bouts for the title fight against Marco Antonio Barrera. It was testified to that Mr. Hernandez did not become the president of NABO until after this fight.
 - 3. There was agreement in the testimony of both Boxer

- 4. The boxer's loyalty to Co-manager Higuera and his suspicions about Mr. Hernandez have created an impasse which is not good for either party or for boxing.
- 5. The testimony has demonstrated a reasonable conclusion that Boxer, even if he does not have another title chance, is a solid professional who will continue to box beyond the two years and four months remaining in the contract. Since it is unclear which way Boxer's career will go at present, it is not possible to project a specific amount over the next two years of anticipated earnings; however it is clear that Co-manager Hernandez does have a valid expectation of payment of a manager's share over the remainder of the contract. The Co-Manager is entitled to recover this sum from boxer's future purses.

ORDER

- 1. The boxer-manager contract between boxer Jesse Magana and Co-managers Jose Hernandez and Martin Higuera, which was signed by the parties on April 25, 1995 is ordered amended, and terminated as to Jose Hernandez.
- 2. Boxer shall pay to Jose Hernandez the 33 1/3 % managers share in each of his next four (4) fights. Thereafter, Mr. Hernandez shall be paid 6 1/2 % of the Boxer's purses through

1

2

- 5
- 6 .7

- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27

- All monies withheld by the Commission prior to the effective date of this order which would have otherwise been owing to any party shall be released to them forthwith.
- Payment of the amounts called for in this order shall be accomplished by the Commission withholding the one-third manager's share of each of the next four (4) future purses earned by the boxer in California, or by the Athletic Commission in any sister jurisdiction which recognizes the California Commission, and causing the same to be paid to Jose Hernandez.

After these four fights, until April 24, 2000, the Commission, or the Commission of a sister jurisdiction recognizing the California Commission's order, shall divide the managers share of the purse and withhold 6 1/2% of the total purse and cause it to be paid to Mr. Hernandez. The remaining 26 1/2% shall be the manager's share paid to Mr. Higuera.

Should the Boxer seek to obtain another manager at any time prior to April 24, 2000, satisfaction of the award, or the remaining obligation, if any exists, shall continue to be due and owing, and some accommodation must be made before the Boxer will be permitted to enter into a new boxer-manager relationship in California or in any jurisdiction which recognizes the lawful orders of the California Commission.

The staff of the Commission is ordered to report to the arbitrator, in advance, of any proposed California boxermanager contract that the Boxer may wish to enter before satisfaction of the award specified herein so that the arbitrator

	-
1	may review the same.
2	This decision shall become effective on the 29th day of
3	January, 1998.
4	
5	
6	DATED: 10- 76,1998
7	ERNEST WEINER, Chairman State Athletic Commission
8	Arbitrator
9	
10	By Carl R. PLOWMAN
11	Deputy Attorney General
12	Arbitrator's Attorney
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: <u>JESSE MAGANA vs. MARTIN HIGUERA & JOE HERNANDEZ, Mgrs.</u>; 97-8

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR** on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Jesse Magana 566 W. Fargo Avenue, Apt. D Hanford, CA 93230

Martin Higuera 1281 Temple Drive Hanford, CA 93230

Joe Hernandez 2003 E. Vernon Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90058

Frank E. Ronzio, Esq. 5900 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2250 Los Angeles, CA 90036 Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 Century Blvd., Ste. 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Michael Wells/Rob Lynch State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

Ernest Weiner 121 Steuart Street, Suite 405 San Francisco, CA 94105

Robert Rosenthal, Esq. 2040 Avenue of the Stars 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90867

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>January 28, 1998</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on <u>January 28, 1998</u>, at Los Angeles, California.

GAIL C. GRIFFITH

Declarant

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

JORGE "MAROMERO" PAEZ, Boxer

and

FRANK CARRILLO, Manager.

Case No. 41701-1

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission and Commissioner Alvin Ducheny, the Arbitrators duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 10:00 a.m. on April 18, 2001 at the Office of the Attorney General in San Diego. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Jorge "Maromero" Paez (hereinafter "Boxer"), the party requesting the arbitration. Frank Carrillo (hereinafter "Manager") was present with witnesses and prepared to proceed. Based upon the Notices to the parties, the Arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

 Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.

- 2. On July 7, 2000, Boxer and manager appeared before an official of the Commission and executed a standard boxer/manager form contract, the term of which was three (3) years. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about July 12, 2000. No addenda or amendments were made to the form by the parties.
- 3. In or about November 6, 2000 Manager notified the Commission in writing that Boxer had violated the terms of the contract and refused to train or take fights with Manager since shortly after signing the contract. In or about November 7, 2000, Boxer requested arbitration of the contract specifying nothing in the terms of the printed form contract, but generally alleging that Manager had acted in ways which were not in the best interests of Boxer in terms of selecting opponents and arranging for bouts. Boxer also alleged that there was money due and owing to him.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing but there was no appearance by or behalf of Boxer despite timely written notice to his address of record with the California State Athletic Commission.
- 5. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the of hearing setting forth the date, time and location of the arbitration was properly sent to all parties at their addresses of record which are required to be kept on file with the Commission and current.
- 6. Manager and Trainer Rudy Elias were each sworn and testified concerning the allegations made by the parties. In addition to the fight in Fresno, CA. listed in Commission records, the witnesses testified that Boxer had fought in Wendover, Utah; St. Louis, Missouri, and Davis/Phoenix, Arizona. In several cases the manager's 33.3% share was and is being held due to the pending arbitration request.
- 7. The testimony of Manager, corroborated by Mr. Elias, was that Boxer had been well paid for all of his fights and that he was in the habit of claiming that he had not been paid. Manager testified that he had provided Boxer with a Range Rover and given Boxer in excess of \$10,000 as well as providing lodging in San Diego.

 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration.
- 2. Despite proper notice to him of the date, time and place of the arbitration requested by him, Boxer failed to appear and testify to the allegations he made concerning the existence of cause to terminate the boxer-manager contract and so failed to meet his burden of proof.
- 3. The party responding to the request for arbitration, Manager Frank
 Carrillo appeared and presented credible evidence in opposition to the request for
 termination of the contract. Thus Manager's unchallenged testimony under oath
 concerning Boxer's actions contrary to Boxer's best interests as set forth in his original
 request for arbitration is rejected.
 - 3. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator hereby issues the following:

ORDER

- 1. The arbitration petition heretofore filed is denied and the Boxer-Manager contract between the parties remains in effect.
- 2. The Manager's share of any purses withheld by order of the California Commission or the Commission of any sister state, territory or Native American tribal organization at the request of either the California Commission or Manager Frank Carillo during the pendency of this arbitration matter from November 2000 through the present should be paid to Manager, Frank Carrillo and the fact of said payment reported to the California Commission.
- 3. Within 60 days from the effective date of this decision and order, Boxer shall report on the status of all purses earned by him through that date and make arrangements to pay the manager's share to Mr. Carrillo. In the event Boxer fails to do this, Manager shall report this failure to the Commission for appropriate action against

İ	
1	Boxer's license in California.
2	
3	This Decision shall become effective on October 1, 2001.
4	
5	DATED: September 19, 2001
6	·
7	ROB LYNCH, EXECUTIVE OFFICER ALVIN DUCHENY, COMMISSIONER
8	STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION
9	Arbitratore
10	7 Har
11	EARL R. PLOWMAN
12	Deputy Attorney General
13	Attorney for Arbitrators
14	,
15	
16	
17	P
18	
19	·
20	·
21	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: Jorge "Maromero" Paez, Boxer and Frank Carillo, Manager Case No. 41701-1

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR**, on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Jorge Paez Post Office Box 541 Calexico, CA 92332

Frank Carillo 480 Camino Del Rio S. San Diego, CA 92108 Rob Lynch State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

Rebecca Alvarez State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>September 21, 2001</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 21, 2001, at Los Angeles, California.

GÀIL C. GRIFFIT

Declarant

E.R. PLOWMAN:gg

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

TITO RAMADES MENDOZA, Boxer and

GUILLERMO "WILLY" SILVA, Manager.

Case No. 101001-1

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. Assisting the Arbitrator was Deputy Attorney General Earl R. Plowman who served as counsel for the Arbitrator. Also present and sworn as a witness was Chief Inspector Dean Lohuis. The matter was convened at 9:00 a.m. on October 10, 2001 at the office of the Commission in Los Angeles. Manager, Guillermo Silva appeared and represented himself. Boxer Tito Ramades Mendoza, the party requesting the arbitration was present with witness Antonio Curtis. Mr. Curtis also assisted Boxer in the presentation of his case. Both parties were prepared to proceed. Based upon the Notices to the parties, the evidence and argument the matter was submitted on November 12, 2001 and the Arbitrator now makes the following:

Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the
Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the
Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.

- 2. On June 27, 30,2000, Boxer and manager appeared before an official of the Commission in Los Angeles and executed a standard boxer/manager contract, the term of which was four (4) years. The contract was approved by the Commission on or about July 6, 2000. Included within the contract were clauses C 6 and C 7 in which both Boxer and Manager affirmed that there were no other oral or written agreements concerning management of Boxer and payment of monies.
- 3. In or about January 24, 2001 Boxer wrote a letter in Spanish in which he requested cancellation and arbitration of the contract specifying no particular violations of the terms of the contract.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing and notice was sent to Boxer's address of record in Mira Loma but the notices were returned. Eventually a call was placed to Arbitrator's counsel by Antonio Curtis advising that mail for Boxer was to be sent to his address at Forum Boxing. All further notices have been sent to that address.
- 5. At the start of the hearing Mr. Curtis provided copies of a facsimile letter which was sent to Mr. Curtis for introduction at the arbitration. The letter was faxed from Denmark by Ezequiel Obando who was then working for Mike Tyson who was fighting in Denmark at the time. The letter was accepted as administrative hearsay as it supported and explained the testimony of both Boxer and Manager.
- 6. Also received was a copy of a Panamanian boxer manager contract dated January 7, 1998 between Tito Rodames Mendoza Alcedo (cedula No. 9-901-749 and Arturo Luis Fabrega Alvarez (cedula No. 9-115-106). This document was certified by the Professional Boxing Commission of the Republic of Panama and on September

- 7. The testimony of the parties established that Boxer has a strong record in both Panama and the U.S. and is ranked in some surveys as 9th in the world in his weight class. He defeated Maselino Masoe for the NABF Title on December 10, 2000 in Elgin, Illinois.
- 8. The testimony established that the fact of a pre-existing Panamanian Boxer-Manager contract between Boxer and Arturo Fabrega was known to Manager, Willie Silva when he signed the June 27, 2000 California contract and that the attestations of both Boxer and Manager in clauses C 6 and C 7 of that contract were false and a fraud on the California State Athletic Commission which was thereby induced to approve the contract. In truth and in fact Boxer's career was actually managed in the U.S. by a committee consisting of Antonio Curtis and Ezequiel Obando. Messrs. Curtis and Obando decided that it "would look better" if Boxer had a "California manager" and this would keep other managers and promoters from trying to recruit Boxer. Curtis, Obando and Boxer approached Manager Silva and he agreed to assume that role. As a part of the deal, Boxer went to live with Manager.
- 9. Boxer testified that he assumed that there was nothing wrong with having a Panamanian manager and another manager in California. He testified that Mr. Fabrega actively worked on his behalf in Panama and had acquired land and built a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

11 12

13

16

17

18

19 20

22 23

25

26

2.7

home for him there. Boxer testified that he resides in Panama and commutes to the U.S. when he prepares for a bout. Boxer, Manager and Chief Inspector Lohuis all testified that the clauses of the California contract were gone over in detail and that oral affirmations were also obtained that there was no other manager or agreement affecting the parties and that they were free to enter into this agreement. It was established that all parties to the contract with the exception of the Commission staff were aware of the falsity of the affirmations.

- 10. Similarly, there is no dispute over what happened next. Manager attempted to act as Manager-in-fact and arranged to have Boxer fight in two lucrative bouts in Texas, both of which he won. Despite the adage that there is no arguing with success, Messrs. Curtis and Obando did in fact reject Manager Silva's attempt to steer Boxer's career and this led to the present request for arbitration by both parties.
- 11. In response to questions from the Arbitrator, Manager testified that he had received purse monies from all of Boxer's fights following June 27, 2000, but that he had invested an additional amount which he estimated to be \$15, 000 in Boxer in the form of living and training expenses. Despite the contract calling for a 33 1/3% share of Boxer's purses to go to Manager Silva, the evidence, including the letter from Mr. Obando, demonstrated that the Manager's share was split among others with Mr. Silva actually getting 10%.

The record was left open for 30 days to enable the parties to submit further argument and for Manager to document his claim for \$15,000 in expenses in accordance with the provisions of Rule 224 of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations and clause B 4 of the contract. No further argument or proof was sent and the record was closed on or about November 12, 2001.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration.

Tito Ramades Mendoza-decision

2. The four (4) year Boxer-Manager contract executed by the parties on June 27, 2000 was void from the beginning as both parties to it lied to the Commission about the existence of other agreements concerning the management of Boxer and the compensation due the manager. Thus, the approval of the document by the Commission was fraudulently obtained rendering this null and void as well.

- Since the contract is null and void, the only question remaining in the arbitration is whether Manager is entitled to any recovery based upon equitable principles such as quantum meruit.
- 4. It is axiomatic in the law that for a party to recover in equity, they themselves must have "clean hands" and otherwise been in compliance with the law. In this case the parties agreed that regardless of what the contract called for, Manager got "his share" of the purse monies, as privately determined between the de facto managers. And there is no dispute that Manager entered into these secret agreements with the knowledge that he was a "straw man" for others and that Boxer had a manager in Panama. Manager's status as a co-conspirator precludes any recovery by him on equitable grounds.
 - 3. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator hereby issues the following:

ORDER

- The arbitration petition heretofore filed is granted and the Boxer-Manager contract between the parties is dissolved with an effective date of December 7, 2001.
- 2. The staff of the Commission is directed to note on its records that Boxer Tito Ramades Mendoza in fact has a pre-existing boxer-manager contract in the Republic of Panama and not to accept any application in California by any person to manage Mr. Mendoza unless and until a certification is received from both Mr. Fabrega that he is no longer Boxer's manager and from the Panamanian Boxing Commission that no boxer-manager contract for Mr. Mendoza then exists in their records.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

3. The staff of the Commission shall specifically verify with any person seeking approval to act as manager for Mr. Mendoza that the proposed contract accurately reflects all financial arrangements concerning purses won by Boxer.

DATED: November 27, 2001

ROB LYNCH, EXECUTIVE OFFICER STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION

EARL R. PLOWMAN
Deputy Attorney General

Attorney for Arbitrator

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

2 Re: TITO RADAMES MENDOZA, Boxer and GUILLERMO SILVA, Manager: Case No. 101001-1 3 4 I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true 5 copy of the attached DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR, on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

> Tito Radames Mendoza c/o Antonio Curtis Forum Boxing 401 S. Prairie Inglewood, CA 90301

Guillermo Silva 11140 Venus Court Mira Loma, CA 91732

Rob Lynch State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

Rebecca Alvarez State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>December 10, 2001</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 10, 2001, at Los Angeles, California.

Declarant

25 26

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

27

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
EARL R. PLOWMAN, State Bar No. 54339
Deputy Attorney General
300 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-2563

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

ADRIEL PeBENITO, Boxer

and

PAT MURPHY, Manager

No. 98-3

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

In or about July 25, 1996, the parties executed a standard five (5) year boxer-manager contract between Adriel PeBenito (hereinafter "boxer"), and Pat Murphy, (hereinafter "manager") Said contract was approved by the Commission's Executive Officer and filed with the Commission.

On December 17, 1997 the boxer requested arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the State Athletic Commission ("Commission"). A copy of the request for arbitration was attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail on July 9, 1998, at their addresses of record for their licenses. At the request of manager, the arbitration hearing was continued

Pebeito.nah

1.

and the matter was removed to San Francisco at the request of both boxer and manager. A new notice giving the new time an place of the hearing was served on the parties on July 15, 1998

The arbitration hearing in the above entitled matter was convened before the arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Commissioner Ernest Wiener, Chairman of the Commission, on August 7, 1998, commencing at 11:00 a.m. at the office of Chairman Wiener, located at 121 Steuart Street in San Francisco, California pursuant to written notice served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General served as counsel to the Arbitrator. There was no appearance by, or on behalf of boxer Adriel PeBenito; although the matter was called to order some 30 minutes after the time originally noticed. The service documents were reviewed and it was determined that notice was properly given to the parties.

Manager Pat Murphy appeared and represented himself. Mrs. Murphy was also present and testified.

Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony and records on file with the Commission, of which official notice is taken by the arbitrator, the arbitrator now makes the following:

2.

12.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The boxer and the manager were licensed by the Commission, and their licenses are in effect for the current license year.
 - 2. The boxer-manager contract was signed by the

- parties and properly filed with and accepted by the Commission.
- 3. The Notice of Hearing and Notice of Continued Hearing, together with the declarations of service, were received by the arbitrator, who finds that service on the parties was proper and that jurisdiction exists to proceed with the arbitration.
- 4. Boxer's request for arbitration was recieved. In it boxer represents that as grounds to terminate his contract with manager that the parties had ceased to communicate and that boxer had not gotten any fights from manager for more than the four months preceding boxer's request for arbitration. In addition, boxer complained that manager would not allow boxer's father to work in the boxer's corner.
- 4. The Manager testified that he had worked with boxer since he was 14 years of age and that since the boxer manager contract was signed, manager had procured 5 fights for boxer. Manager testified that boxer was not available to fight in the latter part of 1997 due to two suspensions imposed upon boxer. The first suspension for 60 days came following a bout in Sacramento, California for cuts. The second suspension was for 90 days through and including October 6, 1997. This latter suspension was for a knock out in the State of Washington.

 Manager produced a copy of an order from the Washington State Commission imposing the 90 day suspension. This prevented manager from accepting any fight offers and negates the allegation that manager was not doing his job.
 - 5. The Manager testified that he has been active in

1.1

the industry for over 40 years as a boxer, referee and as a manager. He has managed professional boxers for many years and currently has other fighters.

- debilitating illness in 1997 which put him first in the hospital and then in physical therapy. The manager stated that despite his illness, he remained active and involved with his boxing activities and that his wife took calls and arranged matches. Manager testified that boxer had stopped training and as such, manager would not accept fight offers since he did not believe boxer was in shape to fight. Manager testified that he had turned down several offers to fight boxer and Mrs. Murphy testified that she had also turned down fights due to boxer's lack of contact. The number of fights turned down by manager for boxer is between 5 and 8.
- 6. Manager testified that he thought that boxer had a good chance of a career in boxing but that he had dropped out of boxing at the present time for a band. At one point manager had provided boxer with a car to get to the gym, but this had been misused by boxer and had been reclaimed by manager. Manager stated that he was aware of the request by boxer to allow boxer's father to work in his corner and had made inquiries. When manager asked the Executive Officer of the Athletic Commission about this, Mr. Lynch allegedly advised manager that the Athletic Commission discouraged parents from serving as cornerpersons for their children. Boxer and manager last spoke in May, 1998 and boxer raised the subject of coming back to train with manager.

Manager testified that he told boxer that he would take him back in training, but that from hereon, their relationship would be strictly business. Manager stated that he would take boxer back. Manager also stated that if boxer had someone who was interested in buying manager out, manager would release boxer from his contract.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The notices given to the parties as to the time, date, and location of the arbitration have been reviewed and are proper. The failure by the Boxer to appear at the time and place noticed and to present evidence in support of his request for termination of his contract with manager provides no basis upon which the arbitrator can act, and manager has not filed any request for relief.
- 2. The arbitrator finds that the evidence presented by the manager tends to negate the allegations made by boxer about manager. Specifically, the records of suspension of boxer demonstrate that he was not available to fight during part of the time he claims manager was not securing him fights.

 Accordingly, the alleged failure by manager to secure fights for four months does not present a valid claim to terminate a written contract. In addition, the failure of boxer to train as called for in Paragraph A(5) of the boxer-manager contract would not permit the manager to accept or arrange a fight on behalf of boxer.

ORDER

1. The request for arbitration of the contract

between the boxer and the manager is dismissed.

In the event the boxer requests a further arbitration of this same contract in the next 24 months, he shall remit in advance the sum of \$500.00 in cash, cashier's check or money order to the Commission to assure his attendance at any further arbitration proceeding on this contract. In the event of a further request by Boxer for arbitration of this same contract within the 24 months from the effective date of this order, and upon a failure to appear by the boxer without good cause, the Commission may retain the \$500.00 posted with it. determination as to whether good cause exists to retain or return the required shall be made by the assigned arbitrator.

13

14

15

10

11

12

2

3

This decision shall become effective the 1st day of September, 1998

16 17

DATED: August 18, 1998

Arbitrato

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

EARL R. PLOWMAN

Deputy Attorney General

ERNEST WEINER, Chairman

State Athletic Commission

Arbitrator's Attorney

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: ADRIEL PeBEITO and PAT MURPHY, Mgr.;

98-3

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF ARBITRATOR**, on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Pat Murphy 310 Indio Pismo Beach, CA 93449

Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 W. Century Blvd., Suite 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Ernest H. Weiner, Chairman 121 Steuart Street, Suite 405 San Francisco, CA 94105

Adriel PeBenito P.O. Box 2906 Atascadero, CA 93422

Adriel PeBenito 5988 Traffic Way Atascadero, CA 93422

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>August 19, 1998</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 19, 1998 at Los Angeles, California.

GAIL C. GRIFFITH

Declarant

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

MARY DURON, Boxer

and

GEORGE GARCIA, Manager.

Case No. 111303-1

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 9:00 a.m. on November 13, 2003 at the Ronald Reagan State Office Building Los Angeles pursuant to written notice to all parties. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General acted as counsel to the Arbitrator. Mary Duron, Licensed Boxer 13505 (Hereinafter "Boxer") appeared and was represented herself. Manager George Garcia (Hereinafter "Manager") was present with witnesses Leobardo and Jose Velasquez-Armenta and prepared to proceed. Based upon the Notices to the parties, and following the taking of testimony of the parties and other witnesses under oath, and following receipt of documents in evidence and upon taking official notice of the records and proceedings of the California State Athletic Commission and following submission of the parties of oral arguments on the evidence and due consideration

thereof, the Arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.
- 2. On February 10, 2001, Boxer and Manager appeared before an official of the Commission in Huntington Beach, California and executed a standard formboxer/manager contract, the term of which was five (5) years. There were no addenda to the contract. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about February 28, 2001.
- 3. In or about July, 2001, Boxer requested arbitration of the contract pursuant to Section C.4 of said agreement, but specifying no particular violations of either laws governing boxing or regulations of the Commission. Due to confusion that the parties had resolved their differences, the arbitration was not set for hearing until the present date.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing by written notice. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the hearing date was properly given to both parties.
- 5. Boxer is currently 30 years old and has an overall record of four wins and five losses as well as a draw. Boxer fought a 10 round Jr. Flyweight world championship bout on July 26, 2003 against Yvonne Capeles of Las Vegas, Nevada. Boxer lost the match by decision but had no trouble going the distance. Boxer take great pride in the fact that she fought for a world title at this juncture in her career. Manager has many years of experience in boxing and is well known in the boxing world as a trainer and manager.
- 6. At the arbitration hearing it was agreed by both Boxer and Manager that they had developed irreconcilable differences. Specifically, Boxer developed an

attachment to a man who had originally come to the gym where she trained. Despite the fact that Boxer's boyfriend did not have experience in boxing, he became involved in Boxer's training, to the detriment of her relationship with Manager. It is noted that the boxer-manager contract signed by the parties placed the selection of trainers with Manager and that absent the approval of Manager, being trained by a third party would be a violation of the contract. There were vague references from both Boxer and Manager that in each case the other party had done something or not done something which had so poisoned the relationship between them so that the elements of trust and teamwork that are essential to such relationships could not exist. The essential fact from this is that Boxer severed her ties with Manager and has been training herself and arranging her own fights.

- 7. Since both Boxer and Manager stated on the record their desire to end the relationship, the Arbitrator ruled that there was no point in taking further testimony and the only issue to be decided is to ascertain whether and to what degree Boxer and Manager are owed compensation from the other.
- 8. Based upon the evidence presented, it is not possible to accurately project a value to the remainder of the contract. Manager does not believe that Boxer is currently being competently trained and Boxer is taking fights for admittedly lower purses than she should be in what appears to be an effort to lower the amount of money that Manager could expect from a fight. This unseemly state of affairs is of no benefit to the parties or to boxing. The only point of agreement at the present time is that the parties are incompatible and that neither would or exert their best effort for the other.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration and pursuant to the boxer-manager contract between the parties thereto, may issue an appropriate order.
- 2. The Boxer and Manager have each agreed that they wish to terminate their contract thus obviating either party from the burden of proving that the other has

engaged in illegal conduct in violation of the laws and regulations of the Commission which would establish legal cause for issuance of an order terminating the contract. Although there was no illegal conduct in violation of Commission laws or Regulations, it does appear that Boxer has chosen to disregard the contract provisions about selection of her trainer and arranged her own fights without consulting with or obtaining permission from manager.

- 3. However, the evidence has established that the personal relationship between the boxer and manager has deteriorated to the point where an impasse exists which is not good for either party or for boxing in general. It is clear that if the contract were to remain in effect it would not be honored by either party due to the lack of trust and respect that each now has for the other.
- 4. A boxer-manager contract by its very nature is a contract for the performance of personal services and contains an implied covenant and promise by both parties of good will and mutual cooperation, which in this case has been frustrated. The boxer and manager, by their own admission, are presently incompatible to the extent that it would be contrary to the best interests of boxing and the parties to force them to remain under contract until the expiration of the term. It is therefore consistent with the best interests of boxing r to terminate the current contract upon terms and conditions which are fair, just and equitable.
- 5. It was agreed by the parties that the Commission has withheld a managers share of all purses earned by boxer since the request for arbitration and that the retained monies could be paid to manager in return for an immediate and unconditional release from the remainder of the contract.
 - 6. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator hereby issues the following:

 ORDER
- 1. The boxer manager contract between Mary Duron, Boxer LB13505, and Manager George Garcia, Manager LM8638 is ordered dissolved effective November 13,

1	2003; and
2	2. The Commission shall pay all retained manager's shares of Boxer's
3	purses through July 26, 2003 to Manager as final settlement of any and all monies due and
4	owning between the parties.
5	
6	
7	This Decision shall become effective on November 13, 2003
8	
9	DATED: January 13, 2001
10	
11	ROB LYNCH, EXECUTIVE OFFICER STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION
12	ARBITRATOR
13	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
14	EARL R. PLOWMAN
15	Deputy Attorney General
16	Attorney for Arbitrator
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

No. 97-9

JOVO PUDAR, Boxer

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

and

FRANK RONZIO, AL RONZIO Co-Managers

2.2

In or about August 8, 1995, the parties executed a standard boxer-manager contract between Jovo Pudar (aka Joe Powder), hereinafter the "boxer," and Frank Ronzio and Al Ronzio, hereinafter "co-managers." In addition to the standard contract there was an addendum to contract of some 10 clauses. The form contract and the addendum was witnessed by the Commission's Chief Inspector and was approved by and is on file with the Commission.

On June 4, 1997 the managers requested suspension of Boxer and arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the State Athletic Commission ("Commission"). Managers cited a refusal by boxer to train, and boxer's failure to execute a promotional contract causing him to be dropped from a bout scheduled for June 8, 1997.

On June 24, 1997 boxer filed a request for arbitration of the same contract. A copy of the contract and the boxer's request for arbitration was attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail on November 26,

1997 at their addresses of record for their licenses.

1

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The service of notice to boxer was returned to the Attorney for the Arbitrator, despite the fact that it was properly addressed to boxer's licensed address. Boxer's managers could provide no other addresses for boxer and attempts by counsel for the arbitrator to reach boxer by telephone produced no results.

The arbitration hearing in the above entitled matter was convened before the arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Commissioner Ernest Weiner, Vice Chairman of the Commission, on December 12, 1997, commencing at 1:00 p.m. at the Athletic Commission's Los Angeles office at 5757 W. Century Blvd., #16, Los Angeles, California pursuant to written notice served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record. Frank Ronzio, Esq. appeared in his capacity as Co-manager and as attorney for Co-Manager Al Ronzio. Also present and participating was Commissioner Robert Rosenthal, Esq.. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General served as counsel to the Arbitrators. There was no appearance by or on behalf of boxer, despite valid service of the notice of hearing and representations made by counsel for the arbitrator concerning his efforts to reach boxer at or through the several telephone numbers listed in his licensing file and in his letter to the Commission.

Both oral and documentary evidence was received and considered by the arbitrator. Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony and records on file with the Commission, of which official notice is taken by the

arbitrator, the arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The boxer and both co-managers are currently licensed by the Commission.
- 2. Both the boxer and the Co-Managers were given proper notice of the request for arbitration and the date time and place set for the arbitration.
- has a record during the time of his contract of 8 wins and 1 loss. Despite having the physical build to be a top fighter, boxer has demonstrated to lack power and has had only 4 wins by knockout. Boxer has served as a preliminary bout fighter. He is currently ranked number 270 among world heavyweights. It is the opinion of the Commission's Chief Inspector that Boxer is not progressing beyond being a 6 round fighter despite careful management. A review of the records of the Commission discloses that boxer has only fought 6 round matches on two occasions and the most that he has been paid is slightly over \$200 per round (on one occasion) with the majority of his matches being 4 rounds with a purse of \$400.00. Boxer's total purses during the approximately two years of the contract is the sum of \$5,150.00.
- 4. Expert testimony was received by the arbitrator from Mr. Marty Denkin on the potential value of boxer's contract. In essence Mr. Denkin and the Commission's Chief Inspector concur in the lack of progress in boxer. In Mr. Denkin's opinion, boxer's value was more in his novelty as a crowd pleaser. When asked to elaborate, this was explained that due to his ethnicity,

boxer has the potential to generate interest in boxing fans who are concerned that the heavy weight ranks are dominated by fighters of African American heritage.

2.

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Boxer seeks termination of his contract with Co-5. Managers citing various complaints; however, since boxer failed to appear to substantiate any of these allegations, they are disregarded as unproven. It should be noted that even if the complaints made by boxer were accepted at face value, it is hard to see where any of these constitute a legal basis to terminate the contract for cause. The closest thing that exists to a legitimate complaint is the allegation that the monthly payments called for under the contract for living expenses were not being paid. However, in light of the uncontradicted evidence of boxer's failure to comply with the contract, and coupled with the addendum provision paragraph 8 to the contract calling for consideration by the Commission of monies paid to boxer by Comanagers in any award following termination of the contract by boxer, it is clear that Co-managers were taking a prudent action to limit damages.
- 6. Co-manager Frank Ronzio testified at the hearing regarding the payment of money to and/or the furnishing of goods and services to and on behalf of boxer. It is the position of Co-managers that they are entitled to the monies invested in boxer in the amount of \$38,806.44 These claims are governed by the requirements of Rule 224 of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations and must be documented as such to be enforced as either a loan or other payment. In the normal course of events,

monies spent in furtherance of a manager's investment in a boxer's career which expenditures cannot be recouped without a written agreement for such approved by the Commission. In the instant matter there is such an agreement; however it is vague as to its specifics and somewhat contradictory.

3

6

8

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- The largest amount claimed, \$23,247, includes the 7. monthly living expense payments, but also includes a variety of other items, all of which have been considered by the arbitrator It is noted that in the addendum as provided in the addendum. signed August 9, 1995 the parties warrant at paragraph 10 that the managers have not made any loans or advances to boxer or on boxer's account. Yet, Co-managers have submitted a claim and check for air fare, lodging and telephone bills for \$3047.00 which clearly occur before the date of the contract. Further, to be allowable under Rule 224, accounting must be performed every 90 days and the boxer must sign or acknowledge the obligation. The checks and payments made out to various unidentified persons, such as Miodrag Gidra Stojanocic to allegedly reimburse them do not meet this requirement.
- 8. From the Commission records it appears that boxer fought 9 times while under contract to managers during the period August, 1995-May, 1997, a period of roughly 22 months, when it is clear that boxer breached the agreement. Thus, for at least nine months during this time, boxer was earning the \$1000.00 per month stipend that managers were paying him and it appears from other allowable bills, for medical and training expenses recorded during this same period that boxer was in training for managers.

Thus, the claimed \$23,247 is reduced by the sum of \$12,047, which represents the stipend for 9 months and the previously discussed disallowed air fares, telephone bills, and payments to unidentifiable persons. Managers are awarded \$11,200 of the amount claimed.

1.2

- 9. The arbitrator has reviewed the claim of \$5000.00 for two work visas at \$2500.00 apiece. From review of the documents provided, and taking notice that manager Frank Ronzio is also an attorney specializing in immigration law, it is unclear who the represented party is, as the names of persons other than boxer's appear as petitioners and as beneficiaries. For this reason, and the fact that Frank Ronzio presumably has retained the right to sue his client for legal fees pursuant to an attorney retainer agreement, it is determined that these claims for professional legal fees involved in obtaining visas should not be considered in this matter.
- 9. The boxer manager contract between the parties states that the manager will pay a certain amount for living expenses and it appears that this has been done. There is no separate item listed in the contract or in the addendum whereby the manager agreed to furnish a motor vehicle for the boxer's personal use as well as pay for not only upkeep of the vehicle but also boxer's moving violations. It appears from the invoices and other material provided that the vehicle was at all times registered to and insured by the manager; indeed, if the only income available to boxer was his stipend and his share of the occasional purse, it is unlikely that the boxer could have

afforded the luxury of purchasing and maintaining a vehicle. Accordingly, the arbitrator finds that the amount allowable to manager is the sum of \$1100. This is the reasonable cost of public transportation to train during the time boxer was under contact and performing.

- specifically rejected. It was and is against public policy for manager to pay boxer's moving violation fines and keep him on the road with a driver's license. The fines penalties associated with any law enforcement action are to punish and educate the person being fined. They are the personal obligation of the defendant, who in this case is the boxer. It is also somewhat disingenuous of manager who is now claiming compensation for damage done to the motor vehicle provided to boxer, which was owned by and registered to manager. Applying equitable principles to the claim, manager acted as a volunteer in providing the vehicle, and manager took no action to get the vehicle back. Except for the \$1100 allowed, the balance of the claim is rejected by the arbitrator.
- 11. The claim for health care services provided by Dr. Alex Guerro is properly documented as being personal and unique to boxer and this amount, \$4690 is allowed as requested.
- 12. Manager claims \$1892.74 for gym dues, equipment, licensing fees and the listing of boxer in ring record. The arbitrator allows the sum of \$737.00 in total for these items, as follows. Manager has not broken down the items of equipment claimed and their present value, nor has he broken out just what

amounts of the several checks to various entities represent gym dues for boxer. It appears from the face of the checks that there are at least two memberships involved. One check in the amount of \$85 is clearly labeled with boxer's name and this is allowed. The other claims allowed include the \$175 for licensing fees and physical examination, together with the \$181 for robes, trunks and lettering. The cost of registering boxer in Ring Record is a cost to the manager of doing business.

13. The cost of meals and hotel rooms claimed by manager is also a cost of doing business and is disallowed.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

After careful consideration of the contract and the addendum, the arbitrator determines that the value of the balance of the contract between boxer and managers is the gross sum of \$6000.00, less the 10% trainer's fee, leaving \$5400 of which manager would be entitled to 1/3 of that sum, or approximately \$1800. This figure is arrived at based upon boxer's record to date and the testimony of the expert. Boxer has only rarely fought more than 4 rounds and only rarely been paid more than \$100 per round. The contract between the boxer and his managers has, as of the date of the request for arbitration, about three years to run. The addendum to this contract provides that boxer was to fight 6 times in the first year and 6 times in the second year of the contract. fourth and fifth year of the contract boxer was to fight a minimum of 4 times each year. the contract is silent as to what was supposed to happen during year three, but it is assumed that if the parties wanted the contract to remain in effect, then the manager would have to provide boxer with a bout at least every four months (Clause C 5 of the standard contract), but more likely the parties intended there to be at least 4 fights in year three. This is a total of 12 fights with a mix of 4 round fights and 6 round fights with the majority being 4 round matches for which boxer would be paid, on average, something just over \$100 per round.

months of the boxer manager contract, the arbitrator must also factor back into the equation the other items called for in the contract, specifically the living expenses and other items called for in the contract. Assuming that the boxer remained under contract for the manager for the remaining 36 months and boxed the 12 fights referenced above, manager would be obligated to pay living expenses of \$1000.00 per month for each of those 36 months for a total of \$36,000, during which time the boxer would take in a total of \$6,000 in purses, before trainer's fees, leaving manager a net loss for the 3 year period of \$34,200. The arbitrator sees no reason to go further into just how much more the managers would lose on boxer if all of the other types of expenditures associated with managing a boxer are added to the total.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The arbitrator has jurisdiction of both the parties and of the subject matter and may issue an appropriate order pursuant to the contract between the parties.
 - 2. The boxer has defaulted in his petitionin his

testimony has not met his burden of proving that Co-managers have engaged in any conduct which would establish legal cause for termination of their contract.

- 3. The testimony and documents introduced by Co-Manger demonstrates that boxer is in breach of the contract between them and that the Co-managers have invested considerable money in boxer with little or no return on their investment in the ring.
- 4. Since it is unclear whether Boxer is still boxing or which way Boxer's career will go at present, it is not possible to project a specific amount over the next two years of anticipated earnings; however it is clear from the original addenda to the boxer manager contract that Co-managers have a valid expectation of repayment of the allowed portion of their costs in the amount of \$17, 727.00 from the manager's share of any purses over the remainder of the contract. The Co-Managers are entitled to recover this sum from boxer's future purses.

ORDER

- 1. The boxer-manager contract between boxer Jovo
 Pudar (aka Joe Powder) and Co-managers Al and Frank Ronzio, which
 was signed by the parties on August 8, 1995 is ordered
 terminated.
 - 2. Boxer shall pay to Co-Managers Ronzio \$17,727.
- 3. All monies withheld by the Commission which would have otherwise been owing to any party shall be released to them forthwith.
 - 4. Payment of the amounts called for in this order

shall be accomplished by the Commission withholding one-third of 1 each of the future purses earned by the boxer in California, or 2 by the commission in any sister jurisdiction which recognizes the 3 California Commission, and causing the same to be paid to Co-Managers until the amount found to be owing is paid. 5 Boxer seek to obtain another manager at any time prior to April 24, 2000, satisfaction of the award, or the remaining obligation, if any exists, shall continue to be due and owing, and some accommodation must be made before the Boxer will be permitted to 10 enter into a new boxer-manager relationship in California or in any jurisdiction which recognizes the lawful orders of the 11 California Commission. 12

5. The staff of the Commission is ordered to report to the arbitrator, in advance, of any proposed California boxermanager contract that the Boxer may wish to enter before satisfaction of the award specified herein so that the arbitrator may review the same.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13

14

15

16

17

This decision shall become effective on the 1st day of September, 1998.

DATED: August 18, 1998

ERNEST WEINER, Commissioner State Athletic Commission

Should the

Arbitrato

By

EARL R. PLOWMAN

Deputy Attorney General

Arbitrator's Attorney

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: <u>JOVO PUDAR vs. FRANK RONZIO, AL RONZIO (aka AL ROGERS)</u>; Case No. 97-9

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR**, on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Jovo Pudar 2498 Corinth Avenue, #4 Los Angeles, CA 90064

Jovo Pudar c/o Joseph M. DeGuardia, Esq. 991 Morris Park Avenue Bronx, New York 16463

Frank Ronzio 5900 Wilshire Boulevard, #2520 Los Angeles, CA 90036

Al Rogers 5900 Wilshire Boulevard, #2520 Los Angeles, CA 90036 Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 W. Century Blvd. Suite 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Ernest Weiner 121 Steuart Street, Ste. 405 San Francisco 94105

Robert Rosenthal 2040 Avenue of the Stars 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90867

Rob Lynch State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825-3217

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>August 19, 1998</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on <u>August 19, 1998</u>, at Los Angeles, California.

GAIL C. GRIFFITE

Declarant

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4.

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

DERRICK JEFFERSON, Boxer

and

KEITH WARLICK, Co-Manager TRAVIS WILLIAMS, Co-Manager

NO. 991229-1

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

 1. In or about December 1, 1994, **Derrick Jefferson** (hereinafter "boxer") and **Keith Warlick**, co-manager (hereinafter "co-manager Warlick") executed a standard boxer-manager contract. Said contract was approved by and is on file with the Commission.

2. On or about May 3, 1995, an amendment was made to the contract which was filed and approved by the Commission adding Travis L. Williams (hereinafter "co-manager Williams") to the contract. The original contract was drafted for a term of five years, from December 1, 1994 to November 30, 1999. Pursuant to the terms of the contract between boxer and co-manager, boxer was to receive the sum of \$100,000 per annum for his services

with managers entitled to receive 33-1/3% of boxer's purses from his services as a fighter.

- 3. On or about December 3, 1999, co-managers requested arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the State Athletic Commission (hereinafter "Commission"). A copy of the contract and the co-manager's request for arbitration was attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record for their licenses.
- 4. Thereafter, boxer secured representation at his home which is currently in Detroit, Michigan and a continuance of the proceedings was granted to an agreed date of January 25,2000.
- matter was convened before an arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Executive Officer Rob Lynch, assisted by Commission staff member, Rebecca Alvarez. Said arbitration commenced at the hour of 9:30 a.m. at the Athletic Commission's offices at 5757 West Century Boulevard, No. 16, Los Angeles, California pursuant to written notice served on the parties and their counsel by mail. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General served as counsel to the Arbitrator. Both boxer and co-managers appeared in person. Co-managers represented themselves while boxer was represented by the Honorable Adam Shakoor of the Law Firm of Shakoor, Grubba & Miller, Professional Corporation in the State of Michigan. Mr. Shakoor appeared before the Arbitrator in California pro haec vice.

and considered by the Arbitrator. Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony and records on file with the Commission of which official notice is taken by the Arbitrator, the Arbitrator now makes the following finding of fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The co-managers are currently licensed by the Commission in California while boxer is no longer a California licensee.
- 2) Both the boxer and co-managers were given proper notice of the Request for Arbitration and the day, time and place for the arbitration.
- 2) Pursuant to the testimony of Chief Inspector, Dean Lohuis of the Commission, the records of the Commission reflect that boxer has an overall professional record of 21-1 and 1 with 18 knock-outs. Boxer is, in the opinion of the Chief Inspector, a world-class heavyweight fighter and is considered to be one of the top 20 heavyweight fighters in the world. He is currently ranked ninth by the WBA and eighth by Ring & KO magazines.
- 4) Managers seek an order from the Commission extending the contract between them which expired by its terms in November 1999. In the alternative, managers seek a share of financial benefits earned or negotiated by the boxer following a breakup of his relationship with co-managers. The item bringing the request for arbitration to a head was a November 6, 1999 fight in Atlantic City which was televised by HBO. Boxer won

Я

1.0

- 5) By agreement of the parties prior to the commencement of the arbitration, the sum of \$25,000 remitted by the New Jersey Commission was paid to co-managers. Said amount is considered hereinafter in the instant order.
- strong career as an amateur both in Michigan and nationally, boxer elected to forego Olympic team tryouts and enter the ranks of professional boxers. To do this, boxer sought a manager and trainer and met with a number of people including his step-brother, co-manager Warlick. Even though co-manager Warlick did not have experience as a boxing manager, he had been licensed as a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) for in excess of 25 years and was experienced in business and financial matters.
- 7) Boxer testified that there were various oral representations made to him to induce him to enter into a boxer-manager contract with co-manager Warlick including a signing bonus. However, none of these items was ever memorialized and the standard form Commission contract expedited by the parties merely stated that boxer would receive \$100,000 for his services as a boxer and co-manager Warlick would receive a one-third share of all earnings from his management of boxer's career.

. 8

- 8) In December 1994, according to the testimony of co-manager Warlick, it was agreed that boxer and his family would move to San Diego, California to get him off what manager characterized as "Detroit's mean streets." Co-manager Warlick provided boxer with trainer, Billy Moore (son of Archie Moore) and paid for moving expenses.
- 9) According to boxer's testimony, the promise of a signing bonus never materialized and the money that was paid to him became a \$500 a week allowance plus payment for rent and other bills. In addition, though apparently not known to boxer initially, co-manager Warlick paid Billy Moore \$500 per week. In addition to the services of Billy Moore, boxer was also trained by the man who discovered him, Claude Strickland.
- 10) Shortly after moving to San Diego, California, the relationship between boxer and co-manager Warlick began to deteriorate. This culminated in mutual recriminations between boxer and co-manager Warlick. Boxer believed that co-manager Warlick was not providing him with quality fights and co-manager Warlick believed that boxer refused to participate in certain fights that he had arranged and that boxer had lied to various people about his physical condition.
- 11) Co-manager Warlick testified in detail about his plan for managing boxer, and provided a copy of a list of proposed opponents to build a record. His plan was for a series of fights commencing with four rounders, graduating to six rounders and thereafter to main event ten round bouts and that boxer not sign any promotional agreements or enter into any other

commitments until he had a solid professional record of approximately 21 fights. It is noted by the Arbitrator that this is a sound plan for bringing on a new professional fighter. It was also testified by Chief Inspector Lohuis that co-manager Warlick sought his advice and that co-manager Warlick seemed to be acting as a conscientious manager.

The Arbitrator finds that there is no evidence to establish bad faith on the part of either boxer or manager; rather, what appears to have happened was a regrettable occurrence that seems to occur in boxing with some frequency where family members are involved in management of boxers. frequently very difficult for a boxer or manager to objectively discharge their obligations if there is intervention by parents or siblings, or if one or the other is "second guessed" by a parent or other relative. Both boxer and co-manager Warlick testified to feeling family strain from their relationship and also from the subsequent deterioration of it. The problems alluded to above resulted in a very problematic relationship. Boxer, either due to confusion or reluctance did not show up for a weigh-in for a first scheduled fight in February 1995. Thereafter, he injured his hand in approximately April 1995 which precluded him from fighting until June. It is a dispute in the testimony as to whether the injury to the hand occurred as a result of Go Cart racing or a sparring contest with another ranked heavyweight. In any event, there is medical evidence presented that an injury did in fact exist and was being treated. It is unfortunate but neither boxer, his managers, or his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 13) In June 1995, co-managers unilaterally changed the compensation to boxer in a material manner. Boxer was notified that he would no longer be given personal advances nor would his living expenses be paid in anticipation of future earnings. Comanagers testified that because the letter referencing this indicated that co-managers would still make up the difference between boxer's earnings and the targeted \$100,000, this was not a condition or change in the contract that required filling it with the Commission.
- on boxer who now had no income and was forced to pay the expenses of rent and living expenses for his family who had come to Detroit with him. Boxer was able to arrange loans and other monies and moved his family away from California. He testified that upon his return to Detroit, boxer was forced to live with his mother and his wife and baby daughter were forced to live with her family in Georgia until he could earn sufficient money to send for them and reunite his family.
- at a bout arranged by a trainer but for which co-managers received a manager's share of the purse. Following boxer's return to Detroit between November 1995 and February 1996, the parties began to discuss dissolving their business relationship. Co-managers agreed to release boxer from his contractual

obligations in exchange for \$100,000. In March 1996, co-manager Williams drafted a letter containing the details of a negotiated dissolution of the contract and sent it to boxer. Although it does not appear in the Commission's official records, evidence produced showing a facsimile transmission of the letter and related documents tends to support the contention that the parties forwarded the letter to the Commission. On or about July 5, 1996, boxer signed a form Boxer-Manager Release of Contract which appears to have handwriting from the Arbitrator who at that time was the Assistant Executive Officer. Boxer believed that this material was forwarded to the Commission, and that the parties had agreed to a release from the original 1994 contract.

16) Thereafter, in or about September 10, 1996, boxer signed a new manager agreement with other persons in Michigan and commenced to build a career culminating with his most recent fight in November of 1999 which awarded him a \$75,000 purse. Co-managers failed to comply with their letter of July 12, 1995 to reconcile the amount of monies due under the contract to compensate boxer to the amount of \$100,000. Even taking all of co-manager's figures at face value, there was a substantial amount due and owing at the end of the year. Similarly, despite boxer's protestations that he believed in good faith that he had negotiated a release from his contract, the record is void of his making any effort whatsoever to comply with the payment of \$100,000 agreed to by him as the condition and consideration for the release.

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

23

24

25

26

27

17) It is also noted, that pursuant to the terms of their California contract the parties to that contract are required to bring their disputes to the Commission for arbitration pursuant to section C4, within two weeks of their dispute.

- 18) Manager has provided an itemizations of expenses for which he seeks reimbursement. These commence on July 25, 1994 and continue through July 1996 and total \$78,253.
- Expenses claimed by co-managers for monies expended prior to the date of the contract on December 1, 1995 are excluded in any calculations. These figures come out of two separate accounts and total \$5,970. Also excluded from the calculations is the sum of \$13,850 paid to Billy Moore. to the Boxer-Manager contract, the manager had the authority which he exercised to hire Mr. Moore. Mr. Stickland was boxer's choice and as such those training expenses are allocated to boxer. Completely unidentified and hence excluded is the sum of \$8,000 of paid for unspecified purposes to an unlicensed promoter named Mike Love. Calculated and claimed as expenses are monies advanced for travel for boxer and co-manager Warlick's family to come from Detroit. The Arbitrator splits the \$3,180 contained therein and determines that one-half of \$1,590 is a recognizable expense. These deductions give a net figure of \$48,838 attributable to the contract.
- 20) Rule 224 requires that any manager who advances or loans any money to any boxer incurs indebtedness on behalf of any boxer shall furnish a statement under penalty of perjury to boxer

every 90 days. The statement shall be specific and shall set forth as to each transaction or item at least the amount of money involved, the date the indebtedness occurred, the purpose of the indebtedness and the name of the person to whom the debt is owed. Further, the manager is required to obtain the boxer's signature. The evidence established that the co-managers provided boxer with two accountings, but that these were not within the 90 day period provided by the regulation and cannot be used to substantiate an obligation by boxer to pay monies.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. A boxer-manager contract by its very nature is a contract for the performance of personal services and contained an implied covenant and promise of good will and mutual cooperation. These required covenants were clearly frustrated in the case of this boxer-manager contract. The testimony established that on both the part of both co-managers and boxer, there appeared to have been a series of misunderstandings, followed by recriminations, followed by breaches of the contract and violation of Commission rules and regulations.
- 2. The Commission has no authority to grant the relief sought by co-managers. Rule 222 prohibits options for extension of boxer-manager contracts and provides a maximum of five years as the term of such a contract. Rather, this arbitration is strictly retrospective and adjudicates the action of the parties under the contract from December 1994 through the middle of 1996.
 - 3. Managers agreed to pay boxer \$100,000 per annum

which is \$8,333 per month. Both boxer and managers believed a contractual obligation of some kind existed through approximately July of 1996, a period of 19 months. Thus managers payment obligation for this period would total \$158,327. Offsetting this amount is the \$100,000 figure negotiated as the value of the contract by boxer plus \$48,838 paid by managers.

4. Both boxer and co-managers failed to request arbitration in a timely manner as required pursuant to the contract between them.

Following negotiations for the release of boxer, boxer testified he believed as a result of the agreement signed that his boxer-manager contract had been terminated by agreement of the parties. It is noted, however, that for approximately 3-1/2 years, boxer made no effort personally, or through his new management team to comply with the terms of his purported release in terms of remitting monies to co-managers and conversely, co-managers made no effort until the end of 1999 to enforce compliance with either the contract or the later agreement or to actively seek to recover monies expended in 1994 and 1995.

5. A review of all the figures before the Arbitrator, including the payment of \$25,000 by boxer to co-managers prior to the arbitration leads the arbitrator to conclude that substantial equity has been done.

ORDER

Co-manag	gers are a	warded th	e sum of	\$25,000	which	they
have already recei	ved from	the Atlan	tic City	bout in	1999.	All
obligations betwee	n the par	ties aris	ing from	the boxe	er-mana	ıger
contract and the p	urported	modificat	ion of th	nat contr	act an	ıd
release of same ar	e termina	ted.				

This decision shall become effective on the 30th day of March, 2000.

Dated this 13th day of March, 2000.

ROB LYNCH, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission

Arbitrator

Ву

EARL R. PLOWMAN

Deputy Attorney General

Arbitrator's Attorney

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: <u>Derrick Jefferson, Boxer and Keith Warlick and Travs L.</u>
<u>Williams, Co-Managers - Case No.</u> 991229-1

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Travis L. Williams 1389 Claridge Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Derrick Jefferson 10633 West Ten Mile Road Oak Park, MI 48237

Keith Warlick P.O. Box 7554 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Adam A. Shakoor, Esq. SHAKOOR, GRUBBA & MILLER, PC 615 Griswold, Suite 1800 Detroit, MI 48226 Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 Century Blvd., Ste. 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Rob Lynch, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Ste. 33 Sacramento, CA 95825-3217

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>March 14, 2000</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 14, 2000, at Los Angeles, California.

AIL C. GRIFFITH

Declarant

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA ATHLETIC COMMISSION
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

NO. 98-4

ANTWON LEACH, Boxer

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

and

TERRY CLAYBON, Co-Manager

MARC SOCKWELL, Co-Manager

In or about March 3, 1998, the parties executed a standard boxer-manager contract between Antwon Leach, hereinafter the "boxer," and Terry Claybon and Marc Sockwell hereinafter co-managers." Said contract was approved by and is on file with the Commission. On or about August 31, 198, the boxer and co-manager Terry Claybon requested arbitration of disputes concerning the boxer-manager contract approved by and on file with the California State Athletic Commission (hereinafter "Commission").

A copy of the contract and the boxer and co-managers' request for arbitration was attached to the Notice of Arbitration Hearing which was served on the parties by mail on November 23, 1998 at their addresses of record for their licenses.

The arbitration hearing in the above entitled matter was convened before the arbitrator appointed by the Commission, Executive Officer Rob Lynch, on December 9, 1998, commencing at 9:00 a.m. at the Athletic Commission's Los Angeles office at 5757 W. Century Blvd., Suite #GF-16, Los Angeles, California pursuant to written notice served on the parties by mail at their addresses of record. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General served as counsel to the Arbitrator.

Both boxer and the two co-managers appeared in person.

The parties represented themselves in this matter.

Both oral and documentary evidence was received and considered by the arbitrator. Based on the evidence presented in the form of oral and written testimony as well records on file with the Commission, of which official notice is taken by the arbitrator, the arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Both the boxer and the co-managers are currently licensed by the Commission.
- 2. Both the boxer and the co-manager were given notice of the request for arbitration and the date time and place set for the arbitration.
- 3. The records of the Commission reflect that boxer has an overall professional record of a 7-0-1 with a record of

3-0-1 in California. Boxer's record as a main event fighter is not sufficiently established at the present time.

4. Co-manager Claybon testified that boxer was brought to him at his business, Hollywood Boxing Gym by co-manager Sockwell. Co-manager Sockwell and boxer were previously acquainted in the city of Rockford in Illinois and are apparently related by marriage. Co-manager Sockwell made representations to Claybon about boxer's ability. After observing boxer, co-manager Claybon indicated that while boxer had potential, he needed work before he would be able to pursue a career in the ring.

To protect his interests, co-manager claybon agreed that he would train boxer but that he would become a co-manager to protect his interest in boxer in the future. This was apparently acceptable and co-manager Sockwell also became a co-manger of boxer. Sockwell agreed that he would feed and lodge boxer at his apartment in Studio City.

It was not established conclusively that co-manager Sockwell made false representations about his abilities to serve as the manager of a boxer. In any event, circumstances in co-manager's Sockwell's domestic life caused him to evict boxer in or about the beginning of September 1998. This left boxer homeless and he was forced to sleep in co-manager Claybon's gym on occasion.

5. It is apparent to the arbitrator that any semblance of a cooperative relationship is broken down between the co-manager and boxer. Regardless of the truth or falsity of

9

8

11

10

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

24

2526

27

the representations made by the parties prior to entering into their agreement, it is apparent that for the benefit of all of them and for boxing in general that the contract previously signed be broken at this time by the Commission.

- 6. Discussions have taken place between the co-managers, and co-manager Claybon testified that an approximate figure of \$3500 had been arrived at as the value of the services invested in boxer.
- 7. At the present time the Commission is holding checks for manager's shares pending resolution of this dispute.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Good cause exists to terminate the boxer-manager contract entered into by the parties.
- 2. It is not possible to accurately determine boxer's future earnings based upon the few fights he has had in California.
- 3. The fact that the contract was entered into in March, approved by the Commission in May and arbitration requested on it in August indicates that the only monies to be considered are those invested by the parties through the date of the request for arbitration.
- 4. The arbitrator accepts the figure of \$3500 as being fair and reasonable to the parties.

ORDER

1. The boxer-manager contract between boxer and the co-managers is amended and co-manager Sockwell is removed from the contract. The managers share checks currently held by the

Commission shall be released to Terry Claybon and Marc Sockwell on the effective date of this decision.

- 2. Co-manager Sockwell shall recover the sum of \$3500 for his expenses in boarding boxer and paying his initial expenses.
- following manner: payment will be accomplished by the Commission withholding 1/2 of the manager's share of each future purse earned by the boxer in California or any jurisdiction which recognizes the California Commission and causing same to be paid to co-manager Sockwell until the balance is paid in full. Should the boxer seek to obtain another manager prior to full payment or satisfaction of the award, the entire unpaid balance if any exists will be due and owing, and some accommodation must be made before the boxer will be permitted to enter into a new boxermanager relationship in California or in any jurisdiction which recognizes the law for orders of the California Commission.
- 4. The staff of the Commission is ordered to report to the arbitrator in advance any proposed California boxer-manager contract the boxer may wish to enter into before payment or satisfaction of the award specified herein so that the Executive Officer may review the same.

_ _

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Leach.dec

This decision shall become effective on the 15th day of February, 1999.

Dated this 26th day of January 1999.

ROB LYNCH, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission

Arbitrator

Ву

EARL R. PLOWMAN

Deputy Attorney General

Arbitrator's Attorney

6.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: <u>Antwon Leach, Boxer</u> and Terry Claybon & Marc Sockwell-Co-Managers; No. <u>98-4</u>

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR** on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Antwon Leach 11054 Ventura Boulevard Apartment 148 Studio City, CA 90614

Terry Claybon 1551 North La Brea Hollywood, CA 90028

Marc Sockwell 11054 Ventura Apartment 148 Studio City, CA 90614 Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 Century Blvd., Ste. 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

Rob Lynch, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Ste. 33 Sacramento, CA 95825-3217

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on January 28, 1999, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 28, 1999 at Los Angeles, California.

FAIL C. GRIFFITH

Declarant

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

DANNY CHAVEZ, Boxer

and

TERRY HILTON, Co-Manager

and

GARY SULLENGER, Co-Manager.

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR AFTER FURTHER HEARING

Danny Chavez (hereinafter "the boxer") notified the State Athletic Commission that a dispute existed between himself and his co-manager, Terry Hilton, concerning their four (4) year contract dated August 12, 1989, and the boxer requested the State Athletic Commission to arbitrate the dispute pursuant to paragraph C.4. of said contract. The State Athletic Commission designated Commissioner S. William Malkasian as the arbitratror. An arbitration hearing was held at the San Francisco State Building, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, on July 10, 1990. The boxer and his co-managers appeared in person. Evidence, both oral and documentary, was presented and the matter was submitted for decision. The arbitrator issued his decision of August 8, 1990. A copy of the decision is attached hereto and

marked "A".

Both parties requested that the matter be reopened and that further hearing be held. Accordingly, S. William Malkasian, the arbitrator, reopened the record and an additional hearing was held in San Jose, California on January 28, 1992. Danny Chavez, Gary Sullenger, and Terry Hilton all appeared and represented themselves. Supervising Deputy Attorney General Ron Russo appeared and acted as legal counsel for the arbitrator.

Executive Officer Richard DeCuir was also present. Evidence, both oral and documentary, was presented and the matter was submitted for decision. The arbitrator now makes the following:

Τ

At all times pertinent herein:

- (a) Danny Chavez was and now is a professional boxer licensed by the State Athletic Commission.
- (b) Terry Hilton was and now is a manager licensed by the State Athletic Commission.
- (c) Gary Sullenger was and now is a manager licensed by the State Athletic Commission.

II

On or about April 3, 1985, Mr. Hilton was convicted by his plea of nolo contendere of a violation of Penal Code section 504, embezzlement of property by a public or private official. The Municipal Court of California for the county of Sonoma sentenced Mr. Hilton to eighteen (18) months of probation with ninety (90) days in county jail suspended and ordered him to make

1	restitution of \$4204.75 to North Coast Builder's Exchange.
2	III
3	A. On or about August 2, 1989, Mr. Hilton represented
4	to the commission on his application for a manager's license that
5	the only offense which he had been convicted of was "disorderly
6	conduct - disturbing the peace."
7	B. Mr. Hilton represented on his 1990 and 1991 renewal
8	applications that he had not been convicted of any offense other
9	than minor traffic violations.
10	IV
11	On August 12, 1989, the boxer and his co-managers
12	entered into a four (4) year contract. Said contract was filed
13	with and approved by the State Athletic Commission on said date.
14	V
15	Mr. Hilton did not disclose his criminal record to the
16	boxer or the commission which approved the contract. The boxer
17	would not have entered into a boxer-manager contract with Mr.
18	Hilton if he had known about his criminal record.
19	AI
20	The commission did not discover Mr. Hilton's criminal
21	record until on or about May 15, 1992 and then only as a result
22	of a request filed by it with the California Department of
23	Justice.
24	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
25	I
26	Mr. Hilton falsified his 1989 1990 and 1991

27 applications filed with the commission.

Section 210(b) of title 4 of the California Code of Regulations provides:

"Falsification in whole or in part of a material fact or presentation on any application for a license shall result in a license being denied, and if previously granted, revoked unless otherwise ordered by the commission."

III

A false impression was produced in the boxer's mind by Mr. Hilton's concealment and/or failure to disclose his criminal record to the commission and to the boxer.

TV

As a general rule, contracts entered into by one party where the other party has made a material misrepresentation, whether by commission or omission, are void or voidable.

V

A boxer-manager contract by its very nature is a contract for the performance of personal services and contains an implied covenant and promise of mutual cooperation and good will.

VI

Mr. Hilton's interest in the contract entered into on August 12, 1989 is terminated for cause for his failure to disclose a material fact to the boxer, namely his conviction for embezzlement of funds.

VII

Mr. Hilton will be permitted to retain any shares of the boxer's purses which he has actually received to the date of

1	this decision; however, he will not be able to receive any other
2	monies for past or future purses earned by the boxer.
3	WHEREFORE, the following decision is made:
4	1. The arbitrator's previous decision dated August 8,
5	1990 and effective August 9, 1990 is hereby vacated.
6	2. Termination of Mr. Hilton's interest in the boxer-
7	manager contract dated August 12, 1989 is warranted at this time
8	This decision shall become effective on the 8th day of
9	July, 1992.
10	DATED: This 7th day of July 1992.
11	S. WILLIAM MALKASIAN, Commissioner State Athletic Commission
12	Arbitrator
13	7 7
14	By Now Kusso, Supervising
15	Deputy Attorney General
16	Arbitrator's Attorney
17	RR:st 03501110-LA90AD1516
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	·

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: Chavez, Hilton, Sullenger

I, <u>SANDRA J. TERRELL</u>, declare that I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 S. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a copy of the attached:

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR AFTER FURTHER HEARING

Mr. Danny Chavez 126 Keyt Way Cotati, CA 94928

Mr. Terry Hilton P.O. Box 4054 Santa Rosa, CA 95402

Mr. Gary Sullenger P. O. Box 3771 Napa, CA 94558

Richard DeCuir Executive Officer California State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825-3217

Each said envelope was then, on July $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}}$ 1992 sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 7, 1992, at Los Angeles, California.

RR:st

03501110-LA90AD1516

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR OF THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration)
of Contract Dispute Between:)

DANNY CHAVEZ, Boxer)

and)

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

TERRY HILTON, Co-Manager and GARY SULLENGER, Co-Manager.

Danny Chavez (hereinafter "the boxer") notified the State Athletic Commission that a dispute existed between himself and his co-manager, Terry Hilton, concerning their four (4) year contract dated August 12, 1989, and the boxer requested the State Athletic Commission to arbitrate the dispute pursuant to paragraph C.4. of said contract. The State Athletic commission designated Commissioner S. William Malkasian as the arbitrator. An arbitration hearing was held in this matter in Room 1154 of the San Francisco State Building, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, on July 10, 1990. The boxer and his co-managers appeared in person. Evidence, both oral and documentary, was presented and the matter was submitted for decision. The arbitrator now makes the following:

15,

FINDINGS OF FACT

_

15.

Ι

At all times pertinent herein:

- (a) Danny Chavez was and now is a professional boxer licensed by the State Athletic Commission.
- (b) Terry Hilton was and now is a manager licensed by the State Athletic Commission.
- (c) Gary Sullenger was and now is a manager licensed by the State Athletic Commission.

II

On August 12, 1989, the boxer and his co-managers entered into a four (4) year contract. Said contract was filed with and approved by the State Athletic Commission on said date.

III

The boxer is 24 years old and has a professional record of 5 wins and 2 losses. —The boxer's bouts were arranged for by Gary Sullenger, his co-manager. Terry Hilton, the other co-manager, was to help primarily with transportation and publicity. There was disagreement among the parties regarding the definition and fulfillment of each person's responsibilities.

IV

It was not established that any party to the contract engaged in any wrongdoing or bad faith conduct with regard to any other party or that any party violated any of the express provisions of the boxer-manager contract.

′ |

J

•

•

15.

It was established that a good faith dispute has arisen between the boxer and Mr. Sullenger on the one hand and Mr. Hilton on the other and that in fact they are not getting along and are incompatible. A lack of trust and faith has developed and communication is poor between the parties.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Ι

The Findings of Fact do not support a determination that Terry Hilton committed any material violations of the express provisions of the boxer-manager contract entered into on August 12, 1989, and termination of his contractual interest for such reason is not warranted.

II

A boxer-manager contract by its very nature is a contract for the performance of personal services and contains an implied covenant and promise of mutual cooperation and good will which has been frustrated in this case. The boxer and Mr. Sullenger are no longer compatible with Mr. Hilton and, therefore, it is consistent with the best interest of boxing to allow the boxer and Mr. Sullenger to terminate their contract and relationship with Mr. Hilton upon certain terms and conditions deemed fair, just, and equitable.

TIT

Mr. Hilton is entitled to receive a reasonable sum of money for the termination of his contractual right which the arbitrator finds to be one thousand dollars (\$1,000) based on all

1 facts and circumstance presented in this matter. 2 IV 3 The managers' shares of all purses to date have been 4 properly disbursed. 5 WHEREFORE, the following decision is made: Termination of the contract is warranted at this 6 7 time. 8 2. Under the facts and circumstances set forth 9 hereinabove, it is consistent with the best interests of boxing 10 and contract law to compensate Mr. Hilton for termination of his 11 contractual interest by a payment of one thousand dollars 12 (\$1,000) to Mr. Hilton. Mr. Chavez and Mr. Sullenger must, 13 within fourteen (14) days of the effective date of this decision, 14 arrange for payment of the one thousand dollars (\$1,000) to 15 Mr. Hilton. If payment has been accomplished within the fourteen 16 (14) day period, the commission staff shall release the 17 contractual interest of Mr. Hilton. 18 This decision shall become effective on the 9th day of 19 August, 1990. 20 This 8th day of August, 1990. DATED: 21 S. WILLIAM MALKASIAN 22 State Athletic Commission Arbitrator 23 24 25 RON RUSSO, Supervising Deputy Attorney General 26 Arbitrator's Attorney 27 RR:mac

03501110-LA90AD1516

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: Chavez, Hilton, Sullenger

I, MARY ANN COMADURAN, declare that I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 3580 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90010; I served a copy of the attached

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

Mr. Danny Chavez 126 Keyt Way Cotati, CA 94928

Mr. Terry Hilton 2345 Oak Knoll Drive Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Mr. Gary Sullenger P. O. Box 3771 Napa, CA 94558

Ken Gray
Executive Officer
State Athletic Commission
1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33
Sacramento, CA 95825

Each said envelope was then, on <u>August 8, 1990</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 8, 1990, at Los Angeles, California.

MARY ANN COMADURAN

RR:mac 03501110-LA90AD1516

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 070601-1

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract

MIKEE STAFFORD,, Boxer

JOSE P. MARTINEZ, Manager.

Dispute Between:

and

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 9:00 a.m. on July 6, 2001 at the office of the Commission in Los Angeles pursuant to written notice to all parties. Earl R. Plowman, Deputy Attorney General acted as counsel to the Arbitrator. Mikee Stafford, Licensed Boxer 313372 (Hereinafter "Boxer") appeared and was represented by James S. Livingston, Esq. 2184 N. Beverly Glen Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 900771. Manager Jose Martinez (Hereinafter "Manager") was present with witness Alex Martinez and prepared to proceed. Also present and sworn were Chief Inspector Dean Lohuis. Based upon the Notices to the parties, and following the taking of testimony of the parties and other witnesses under oath, and following receipt of documents in evidence and upon taking

official notice of the records and proceedings of the California State Athletic Commission

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

and following submission of the parties of oral arguments on the evidence and due consideration thereof, the Arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.
- 2. On May 25, 2000, Boxer and Manager appeared before an official of the Commission in Los Angeles and executed a standard formboxer/manager contract, the term of which was five (5) years. In addition to the form contract, there were written amendments to the documents on purses, a salary and on payment for promotional fees. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about June 7, 2000.
- 3. In or about April 2001, Boxer and Manager each requested arbitration of the contract pursuant to Section C.4 of said agreement, but specifying no particular violations of either laws governing boxing or regulations of the Commission.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing by written notice. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the hearing date was properly given to both parties.
- 5. Boxer is currently 22 years old and has an overall record of two wins and two losses with two of her wins by knock out. During the course of her contract with Manager, Boxer has had three bouts and was the winner in two of them. It was the testimony of Chief Inspector Lohuis that Boxer has potential to do well in boxing.
- 6. At the arbitration hearing it was agreed by both Boxer and Manager that they wanted to be released from their contract with one another. There were vague references from both Boxer and Manager that in each case the other party had done something or not done something which had so poisoned the relationship between them that the elements of trust and teamwork that are essential to such relationships could not

exist. It was testified that in an effort to mend their professional relationship,

Boxer and Manager had met with Chief Inspector Lohuis to mediate their disagreements.

This did not ultimately prove successful and the dual requests for arbitration followed

- 7. Since both Boxer and Manager stated on the record their desire to end the relationship, the Arbitrator ruled that there was no point in taking testimony and the only issue to be decided was to ascertain whether and to what degree Boxer and Manager were owed compensation from the other. Manager submitted an itemized list of expenses totaling \$10, 308.65 which he represented to be his total expenditures on or on behalf of Boxer, both in satisfaction of the contract and otherwise. Upon examination of the list, Boxer had little disagreement that the monies had been disbursed as indicated. However, it is the task of the Arbitrator to determine what monies, if any, can be recouped by the parties.
- 8. Since Boxer has a total record of only four fights the Arbitrator is not able to make any determination as to what the worth of the contract would be for Manager in terms of a dollar amount from purses earned by Boxer over its life.
- 9. The itemized list of expenses introduced by Manager contains a certain items which are not recoverable at all. Foremost among these is the \$1000.00 signing bonus. This money is an inducement which is frequently offered to boxers to enter into a boxer-manager contract. These amounts are separate and apart from other obligations and the obligation to pay a signing bonus is complete at the signing of the contract. Absent fraud, or some other impossibility on the part of a boxer to enter into the contract, such monies are not recoverable by the manager. Similarly, items such as charges for makeup artists and cash advances (particularly those which are not supported by a writing) which predate the contract of May 25, 2000 are outside the scope of this arbitration.
- 10. It is recognized that a boxer-manager relationship is also supposed to be a money making enterprise and as such there are expenses which are incurred by the

1 | r 2 | F 3 | a 4 | a 5 | E 6 | t 7 | N 8 | A 9 | a 10 | s 11 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 | r 1 |

manager to promote the boxer in the hope that the investment in the business will produce a return to the manager in the form of shares of the boxer's purses. There are also expenses which the parties agreed were to be paid by Manager such as the physical and eye examinations in March, 2001, as well as an agreement that Manager would pay Boxer a salary of \$1200 per month plus \$80.00 for gas. Manager paid Boxer \$6120 with the last payment on September 1, 2001. It should be noted that Boxer last fought for Manager on February 23, 2001 and the parties requested each requested arbitration in April, 2001. By the terms of the contract Manager obligated himself to pay Boxer's salary and gas allowance during those seven months, an amount calculated to be \$8960. It should be noted that this amount exceeds the amount Manager claims he expended when monies such as the signing bonus and business expenses are calculated into the equation.

11. The Arbitrator notes that on the day following the hearing of this matter, Boxer faxed an exparte letter to him in which she complained in so many words that she had not been given the opportunity to tell her story. As noted above, since both parties agreed at the hearing that they wanted out of their agreement with each other, there was no need for the taking of evidence to ascertain which party, if any, actually breached the contract or violated the law and regulation of the Commission. It was apparent to the Arbitrator that both Boxer and Manager apparently had stories that each wanted to tell about the other, but applying the wisdom of California Evidence Code Section 352 to the case, there is no obligation on the part of the Arbitrator to burden the record with personal invective which would have led to an undue consumption of time for no probative value.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration and pursuant to the boxer-manager contract between the parties thereto, may issue an appropriate order.
- 2. The Boxer and Manager have each agreed that they wish to terminate their contract thus obviating either party from the burden of proving that the other has engaged

in illegal conduct in violation of the laws and regulations of the Commission which would establish legal cause for issuance of an order terminating the contract.

- 3. However, the evidence has established that the personal relationship between the boxer and manager has deteriorated to the point where an impasse exists has been created which is not good for either party or for boxing in general.
- 4. A boxer-manager contract by its very nature is a contract for the performance of personal services and contains an implied covenant and promise by both parties of good will and mutual cooperation, which in this case has been frustrated. The boxer and manager, by their own admission, are presently incompatible to the extent that it would be contrary to the best interests of boxing and the parties to force them to remain under contract until the expiration of the term. It is therefore consistent with the best interests of boxing r to terminate the current contract upon terms and conditions which are fair, just and equitable.
- 5. A review of the amounts claimed to have been paid by Manager and and the amounts due and owing under the terms of the contract to Boxer are approximately equal.

--0---

Stafford-Martinez-decision

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: Mikee Stafford, Boxer and Jose P. Martinez, Manager Case No. 070601-1

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR**, on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Jose P. Martinez 1615 S. Pacific Avenue Apt. 5 San Pedro, CA 90731

Mikee Stanford 4176 Arch Drive, #112 Studio City, CA 90604 Rob Lynch State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

Rebecca Alvarez State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>September 21, 2001</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 21, 2001, at Los Angeles, California.

GAIL C. GRIFFI

Declarant

E.R. PLOWMAN:gg

1 2 3 5 6 BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR 8 STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Case No. 41801-1 11 Dispute Between: **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR** 12 LAFAYETTE RANDOLPH, Boxer and 13 GUILLERMO SILVA, Manager. 14 15 The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob 16 Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly 17 appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 9:00 a.m. on April 18, 2001 at the office of the Commission in Los Angeles. There was no appearance by or on 19 20 behalf of Manager, Guillermo Silva Alvarez. Boxer Lafayette Randolph, the party requesting the arbitration was present with witnesses and prepared to proceed. Based 21 upon the Notices to the parties, the Arbitrator now makes the following: 23 24 25 26 27

_ _

- Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the
 Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the
 Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.
- 2. On March 30,2000, Boxer and manager appeared before an official of the Commission in Los Angeles and executed a standard boxer/manager contract, the term of which was four (4) years. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about April 17, 2000.
- 3. In or about January 30, 2001 Boxer requested arbitration of the contract specifying no particular violations of the terms of the contract, but generally alleging that Manager had acted in ways which were not in the best interests of Boxer in terms of selecting opponents and arranging for bouts.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing but there was no appearance by or behalf of Manager.
- 5. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the of hearing setting forth the date, time and location of the arbitration was properly sent to all parties at their addresses of record which are required to be kept on file with the Commission and current.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration.
- 2. The party responding to the request for arbitration, Manager Guillermo "Willy" Silva has failed to appear or present any evidence in opposition to the request for termination of the contract. Thus Boxer's unchallenged testimony under oath concerning Manager's actions contrary to Boxer's best interests as set forth in his original request for arbitration is accepted.
 - 3. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator hereby issues the following:

1	ORDER
2	1. The arbitration petition heretofore filed is granted and the Boxer-
3	Manager contract between the parties is dissolved with an effective date of April 18,
4	2001.
5	2. The Manager's share of any purses withheld by order of the
6	Commission during the pendency of this arbitration matter from January 30, 2001
7	through the present should be paid to Boxer, Lafayette Randolph. This Decision shall
8	become effective immediately upon signature.
9	DATED: June 15, 2001
10	
11	ROB LYNCH, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ROBLYNCH, EXECUTIVE OFFICER STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION

EARL R. PLOWMAN Deputy Attorney General

Attorney for Arbitrator

- -

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Re: Lafayette Randolph, Boxer and Guillermo Silva, Managers Case No.

I am over 18 years of age, and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, 10 North, Los Angeles, California 90013; I served a true copy of the attached **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR**, on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope addressed as follows:

Lafayette Randolph 9226 Live Oak Avenue Fontana, CA 92335

Guillermo Silva 11140 Venus Court Mira Loma, CA 91752

Rob Lynch State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825 Rebecca Alvarez State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

Dean Lohuis State Athletic Commission 5757 Century Blvd., Suite 16 Los Angeles, CA 90045

I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Each said envelope was then, on <u>June 19, 2001</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States Mail at Los Angeles, California, the county in which I am employed, with the postage thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 19, 2001, at Los Angeles, California.

GÀIL C. GRIFFITH

Declarant

E.R. PLOWMAN:gg

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

CARLOS ALVAREZ, Boxer

and

RUDY TELLEZ, Manager.

Case No. 914 2/6

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 9:00 a.m. on September 20, 2000 at the office of the Commission in Los Angeles. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Carlos Alvarez, the party requesting the arbitration. Manager Rudy Tellez was present with witnesses and prepared to proceed. Based upon the Notices to the parties, the Arbitrator now makes the following:

//

- 1. Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.
- 2. On January 27, 2000, Boxer and manager appeared before an official of the Commission in Los Angeles and executed a standard boxer/manager contract, the term of which was three (3) years. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about February 9, 2000.
- 3. In or about June 2000, Boxer requested arbitration of the contract specifying no particular violations.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing but there was no appearance by or behalf boxer. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the hearing date was properly given to both parties.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration.
- 2. The party requesting the arbitration, the boxer, has failed to appear or present any evidence demonstrating a basis for requesting termination of the contract and hence his request fails for lack of proof.
- 3. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator hereby issues the following:

ORDER

The arbitration petition heretofore filed is dismissed. This Decision shall become effective immediately upon signature. 11/20/2000

ROB LYNCH, EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMMISSION

Deputy Attorney General

Attorney for Arbitrator

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Case Name: Carlos Alvarez, Boxer and Rudy Tellez, Manager No.: 914 2/6

I declare:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am 18 years of age or over and not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013.

On November 22, 2000, I served the attached: **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR**, in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013, for deposit in the United States Postal Service mail that same day in the ordinary course of business, in a sealed envelope, postage fully postpaid, addressed as follows:

Carlos Alvarez 7732 Craig Avenue Buena Park, CA 90621

Rudolph Tellez 2314 W. Main Street Alhambra, CA 91801

Rob Lynch, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct, and this declaration was executed at Los Angeles, California, on **November 22, 2000.**

GAIL C. GRIFFITH

Typed Name

Signature

E.R.PLOWMAN:gg

1

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 070601-2

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract

ANTWON LEACH, Boxer

TERRY CLAYBON, Manager.

10

11

Dispute Between:

and

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 10:00 a.m. on July 6, 2001 at the office of the Commission in Los Angeles. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Boxer Antwon Leach, the party requesting the arbitration. Manager Terry Claybon was present with witnesses and prepared to proceed. Based upon the Notices to the parties, the Arbitrator now makes the following:

23

24

25

26

27

28

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.

2. On March 3, 1998, Boxer and Co-managers appeared before an

Leach01-decision

 official of the Commission in Los Angeles and executed a standard boxer/manager contract, the term of which was five (5) years. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about May 13, 1998. At a prior proceeding Co-Manager Marc Sockwell was removed from the contract leaving Terry Claybon as the sole manager of Boxer, Antwon Leach.

- 3. In or about March 20, 2001, Boxer requested arbitration of the contract specifying no particular violations of the contract, but rather a general assertion that Manager was not doing enough to advance his career.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing but there was no appearance by or behalf boxer, nor was there any effort made by Boxer to contact counsel for the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the hearing date was properly given to both parties at their respective addresses of record.
- 5. At 10:15am the matter was called and in response to questions by the Arbitrator, Manager testified that he had not been in contact with Boxer for some time and that it was Manager's understanding that Boxer was now spending much of his time in Las Vegas, Nevada. Manager testified that he had invested money in Boxer's career and that he had not seen any return on his investment as of the date of the arbitration, despite Boxer having made a good start on a professional career.
- 6. Since there was no appearance by or on behalf of Boxer, the Arbitrator deferred taking any specific testimony on the amount of money spent by Manager on Boxer's career.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration.
- 2. The party requesting the arbitration, the boxer, has failed to appear or present any evidence demonstrating a basis for requesting termination of the contract and hence his request fails for lack of proof. The Arbitrator takes notice of the fact that

Leach01-decision

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Case Name: Antwon Leach, Boxer and Terry Claybon, Manager No.: 070601-2

I declare:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am 18 years of age or over and not a party to the within entitled cause; my business address is 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013.

On July 16, 2001, I served the attached: **DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR** in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013, for deposit in the United States Postal Service mail that same day in the ordinary course of business, in a sealed envelope, postage fully postpaid, addressed as follows:

Antwon Leach 7044 Hawthorne Ave., #314 Los Angeles, CA 90028

Terry Claybon 1280 Coronet Riverside, CA 92804

Rob Lynch, Executive Officer State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

Rebecca Alvarez State Athletic Commission 1424 Howe Avenue, Suite 33 Sacramento, CA 95825

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct, and this declaration was executed at Los Angeles, California, on July 16, 2001.

GAIL C. GRIFFITH

Typed Name

Signature

E.R.PLOWMAN:gg

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1011

9

5

6

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

Case No.: **914 7**

DECISION OF THE

13

14

12

MARK TULLIUS, Boxer,

ARBITRATOR

15

16 CHARLES WILLIAMS, Manager.

and

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

The above captioned arbitration matter was duly noticed before Rob Lynch, Executive Officer of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was scheduled to be heard at the Commission's office in Los Angeles on September 14, 2000.

Prior to the commencement of the arbitration, contact to the arbitrator's attorney was made by Manager, Mr. Charles Williams. Mr. Williams advised that he was willing to sign a

28

//

1	release of the contract for Boxer. Based on the representations	
2	of the parties, the Arbitrator now makes the following:	
3	FINDINGS OF FACT	
4	1. Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of	
5	the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this	
6	arbitration, licensees of the Commission are currently licensed.	
7	2. The Arbitrator accepts the representation of the	
8	Manager that he is willing to release Boxer from the contract.	
9	3. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator now issues	
10	the following Order:	
11	ORDER	
12	1. The five (5) years Boxer/Manager contract entered	
13	into on January 13, 1999 is hereby canceled.	
14	This Decision shall become effective upon receipt of	
15	written release of Boxer by Manager.	
16	DATED:	
17		
18		
19	DOD I WICH	
20	ROB LYNCH Executive Officer California State Athletic Commission	
21	California State Athretic Commission	
22		
23	EARL R. PLOWMAN	
24	Deputy Attorney General	
25	Attorney for Arbitrator	
26		
27		
28		

BEFORE AN ARBITRATOR STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Arbitration of Contract Dispute Between:

ROBERT M. CLUBB, Manager and

JUAN PATINO, Boxer

Case No. 062102-2

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATOR

The above captioned arbitration matter came on regularly for hearing before Martin Denkin, Commissioner of the California State Athletic Commission, the Arbitrator duly appointed by the Commission. The matter was convened at 11:00 a.m. on June 21, 2002 at the office of the Attorney General in Los Angeles. There was no appearance by or on behalf of Boxer Juan Patino. Manager Robert Clubb was present and prepared to proceed. Based upon the Notices to the parties, the Arbitrator now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Boxer and Manager were at the time of the making of the Boxer/Manager contract which is the subject of this arbitration, both licensed by the Commission and Boxer and Manager are currently licensed in California.
 - 2. On April 18, 2000, Boxer and Manager appeared before an official of

the Commission in Los Angeles and executed a standard boxer/manager contract, the term of which was two (2) years. The contracts were approved by the Commission on or about April 22, 2000.

- 3. In or about July 9, 2001, Manager requested arbitration of the contract specifying as grounds that boxer had failed to honor bout agreements made with promoters Don Chargin and Jerry Hoffman and that boxer had taken fights without his manager's approval and without paying the manager's share of his purses.
- 4. Thereafter the matter was set for hearing but there was no appearance by or behalf boxer, nor was there any effort made by Boxer to contact counsel for the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator has determined that notice of the hearing date was properly given to both parties at their respective addresses of record.
- 5. At 12:15pm the matter was called and in response to questions by the Arbitrator, Manager testified that he had not been in contact with Boxer for some time and that it was Manager's understanding that Boxer was now spending much of his time in Las Vegas, Nevada. Manager testified that he had invested \$955.00 in Boxer's career and that he had not seen any return on his investment as of the date of the arbitration, despite Boxer having made a good start on a professional career. The Manager testified that this figure included licensing and medical examinations for Boxer and payment of gym dues at three locations and one half of a \$145 dollar customized robe. Manager testified that in his last contact with Boxer at Bumpy's Gym, Boxer told him that Boxer was working two jobs and not keeping up his career in boxing. Manager testified that he later learned that Boxer took several fights. Questions about the dates of payment of gym dues and licensing fees disclosed that certain of these were for services before the April, 2000 contract date and these are disallowed as not being under the contract in question.
- 6. A review of the records of the Commission and of the Nevada State

 Athletic Commission disclosed that during the term of the contract, Boxer had fought on

four occasions. The first fight was on Indian land in Oroville in April, 2000 and this was for a \$500. The Manager's share was paid. The second fight was in Laughlin, Nevada on July 14, 2001 with a purse of \$600. Boxer listed Rudy Elias as his manager but no share was paid by the Commission in Nevada as no boxer manager contract was on file with that commission. Boxer fought at Del Mar, California in August, 2001 for \$500.00 and the records of the California Commission reflect a manager's share payment to Manager. Finally, the Nevada Commission noted a fight in Las Vegas on April 13, 2002 with a purse of \$600. No manager was listed nor was any share withheld. Boxer fought in Imperial Beach, Ca. in June, 2002 but this was after expiration of the contract.

7. Since there was no appearance by or on behalf of Boxer, the Arbitrator heard uncontradicted testimony on the amount of money spent by Manager on Boxer's career and received copies of invoices and notations for expenses and the amount that appears to be under the present contract is the sum of..

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. The Arbitrator has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of the arbitration.
- 2. The Manager, appeared and presented competent and credible evidence demonstrating a basis for payment of \$400 in monies expended on boxer which are not strictly expenses commonly a part of doing business as a manager. The records of the Nevada Commission also demonstrate that Manager is entitled to the Manager's share of purses for the two Nevada fights, \$200.00.
 - 3. Based on the foregoing, the Arbitrator hereby issues the following:

ORDER

- 1. The arbitration petition of Manager is granted.
- 2. The Boxer-Manager Contract between the parties is expired but Boxer is obligated to pay Manager \$600.00.

	1
1	
2	C
3	F
4	r
5	t
6	E
7	
8	f
9	t
10	
11	
12	t
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

3. Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision Boxer shall report on the status of all purse earned by him through that date and make arrangements to pay the outstanding manager's shares in the amount of \$200. Boxer shall also reimburse Manager the sum of \$400 for a total of \$600. In the event Boxer fails to do this, Manager shall report this failure to the Commission for appropriate action against Boxer's license in California.

4. The Commission shall, forthwith, withhold a one third manager's share from all purses paid to Boxer and cause said monies to be paid to Manager Clubb until the obligation is paid. The Commission shall request enforcement of its order by the Commissions of any sister state, territory or Native American Tribal organization.

5. In the event Boxer seeks to enter into another boxer-manager contract, the outstanding obligation to Manager in this case must be satisfied as a condition to approval by the Commission.

This Decision shall become effective on August 20, 2002

DATED: July 20, 2002

MARTIN DENKIN, COMMISSIONER STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION APRITRATOR

EARL R. PLOWMAN Deputy Attorney General

Attorney for Arbitrator