

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General
of the State of California
2 JAMES M. LEDAKIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352
Deputy Attorney General
4 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101
5
6 P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2614
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8 Attorneys for Complainant

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. AC-2007-53

13 JOE PAUL DIBENEDETTO
755 Orange Hill Drive
14 Corona, CA 91719

**DEFAULT DECISION
AND ORDER**

15 CPA Certificate No. 73278

[Gov. Code, §11520]

16 Respondent.
17

18 FINDINGS OF FACT

19 1. On or about October 2, 2007, Complainant Carol Sigmann, in her official
20 capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of
21 Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. AC-2007-53 against Joe Paul DiBenedetto (Respondent)
22 before the California Board of Accountancy.

23 2. On or about May 9, 1997, the California Board of Accountancy (Board)
24 issued CPA Certificate Number 73278 to Respondent. The CPA Certificate expired on
25 August 31, 2003, and has not been renewed. The certificate is currently on "expired" status.

26 3. On or about October 10, 2007, Denise Hosman, an employee of the
27 Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of Accusation No.
28 AC-2007-53, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and

1 Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record
2 with the Board, which was and is 755 Orange Hill Drive, Corona, CA 91719. A copy of the
3 Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit A, and are
4 incorporated herein by reference.

5 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the
6 provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

7 5. On or about October 17, 2007, the aforementioned documents were
8 returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable as Addressed, Unable to Forward."
9 A copy of the envelope returned by the post office is attached as Exhibit B, and is incorporated
10 herein by reference.

11 6. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:

12 (b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a
13 license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or
14 cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender
15 without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it
16 may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its
17 authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee
18 upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the
19 license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such
20 ground.

21 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

22 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the
23 respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific
24 denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice
25 of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the
26 agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

27 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service
28 upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of
Accusation No. AC-2007-53.

9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at
the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express
admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without
any notice to respondent.

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(2) Counts 2 through 22 - Falsifying Records, violations of Penal Code section 471, with the added enhancement that Respondent intentionally took, damaged and destroyed property in excess of \$150,000 during the commission and attempted commission of the offenses.

(3) Counts 23 through 43 - Fraudulent Computer Access, violations of Penal Code section 502(c)(1), with the added enhancement that Respondent intentionally took, damaged and destroyed property in excess of \$150,000 during the commission and attempted commission of the offenses.

(4) Counts 44 and 45 - Filing of False Tax Return, violations of Revenue and Taxation Code section 19705(a)(1), the added enhancement that Respondent intentionally took, damaged and destroyed property in excess of \$150,000 during the commission and attempted commission of the offenses.

2. Respondent was sentenced to 2 years in state prison, which prison time was suspended regarding all counts, but Respondent was ordered to serve 365 days in county jail and placed on 3 years formal probation. He was ordered to pay \$41,964.48 in restitution to the Franchise Tax Board regarding counts 44 and 45.

3. The facts and circumstances surrounding the convictions are that the charges arise from Respondent's employment as the Chief Financial Officer for MasterCraft Homes and relate to his illegal actions to benefit himself.

b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(g), for violating Board regulation, in conjunction with section 5063 in that he failed to report his felony convictions to the Board within 30 days, as required by section 5063.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that CPA Certificate Number 73278, heretofore issued to Respondent Joe Paul DiBenedetto, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on February 24, 2008.

It is so ORDERED January 25, 2008


FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

80172623.wpd
DOJ docket number:SD2007801869

Attachments:

- Exhibit A: Accusation No. AC-2007-53, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service
- Exhibit B: Copy of Envelopes Returned by Post Office
- Exhibit C: Certification of Costs: Declaration of Rita M. Lane
- Exhibit D: California Board of Accountancy Certification of Costs

Exhibit A

Accusation No. AC-2007-53,
Related Documents and Declaration of Service

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General
of the State of California

2 JAMES LEDAKIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

3 RITA M. LANE, State Bar No. 171352
Deputy Attorney General

4 California Department of Justice
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5 San Diego, CA 92101

6 P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7 Telephone: (619) 645-2614
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 Attorneys for Complainant
9

10 **BEFORE THE**
11 **CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY**
12 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. AC-2007-53

14 JOE PAUL DIBENEDETTO
755 Orange Hill Dr
15 Corona, CA 91719

A C C U S A T I O N

16 CPA Certificate No. 73278

17 Respondent.
18

19 Complainant alleges:

20 PARTIES

21 1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official
22 capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of
23 Consumer Affairs.

24 2. On or about May 9, 1997, the California Board of Accountancy issued CPA
25 Certificate Number 73278 to Joe Paul DiBenedetto (Respondent) with an expiration date of
26 August 31, 1997.

27 ///

28 ///

1 The certificate expired and was not valid from September 1, 1997, through September 28,
2 1997, for failure to pay the renewal fee and failure to file the required declaration of compliance
3 with continuing education requirements.

4 The certificate was renewed on September 29, 1997, effective through August 31, 1999.

5 The certificate was renewed for September 1, 1999, through August 31, 2001, without
6 continuing education ("inactive").

7 The certificate expired and was not valid from September 1, 2001, through November 28,
8 2001, for failure to pay the renewal fee and failure to file the required declaration of compliance
9 with continuing education requirements.

10 The certificate was renewed on November 29, 2001, effective through August 31, 2003,
11 without continuing education ("inactive").

12 The certificate expired on September 1, 2003, has not been renewed, and currently is in
13 "expired" status.

14 JURISDICTION

15 3. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy (Board),
16 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws of the Business and
17 Professions Code:

18 A. Section 5063 of the Code requires a licensee to report in writing to the Board
19 within 30 days all felony convictions and convictions of any crime: related to the qualifications,
20 functions or duties of a licensee or committed in the course and scope of practice of public
21 accountancy; or involving theft, embezzlement, misappropriation of funds or property, breach of
22 a fiduciary responsibility, or the preparation, publication, or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or
23 materially misleading financial statements, reports or information.

24 B. Section 5100 states in pertinent part:

25 "After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit
26 or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5
27 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for

28 ///

1 unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the
2 following causes:

3 "(a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and
4 duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant.

5 ". . . .

6 "(g) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board
7 under the authority granted under this chapter.

8 C. Section 5107 of the Code provides for the recovery by the Board of its reasonable
9 costs of investigation and prosecution, including attorney's fees, if respondent is found to have
10 committed a violation of this chapter.

11 D. Section 5109 of the Code provides that the expiration of a license shall not
12 deprive the Board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with a disciplinary action against a
13 licensee.

14 E. Section 490 of the Code states:

15 "A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been
16 convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties
17 of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning
18 of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo
19 contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a
20 conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has
21 been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition
22 of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the
23 Penal Code."

24 F. Section 493 of the Code states:

25 "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board
26 within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke
27 a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the
28 ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the

1 qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the
2 crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,
3 and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in
4 order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the
5 qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

6 "As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and
7 'registration.'"

8 4. This Accusation also references the following sections of California
9 Code of Regulations (CCR), title 16:

10 A. CCR section 99 states in pertinent part:

11 "For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a certificate or permit pursuant
12 to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or
13 act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
14 certified public accountant or public accountant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or
15 potential unfitness of a certified public accountant or public accountant to perform the functions
16 authorized by his or her certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety,
17 or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

18 (a) Dishonesty, fraud, or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind;

19

20 (d) Violation of any of the provisions of Chapter 1, Division III of the Business and
21 Professions Code or willful violation of any rule or regulation of the board."

22 B. CCR section 99.1 states:

23 When considering. . .the suspension or revocation of a certificate or permit. . ., in
24 evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for a certificate or permit,
25 will consider the following criteria:

26 (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).

27 ///

28 ///

1 (2) Criminal record and evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or
2 offense(s) under consideration which also could be considered as grounds for denial, suspension
3 or revocation.

4 (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s) referred to in
5 subdivision (1) or (2).

6 (4) The extent to which the applicant or licensee has complied with any terms of parole,
7 probation, restitution, or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant or licensee.

8 (5) If applicable, evidence of expungement proceedings pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the
9 Penal Code.

10 (6) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant or licensee.

11 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

12 (Unprofessional Conduct: Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

13 5. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 5100(a) in that
14 he has been convicted of multiple crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or
15 duties of a certified public accountant, as more particularly alleged below:

16 A. On or about November 6, 2006 in Orange County Superior Court Case No.
17 05CF0015, *People v. Joseph Paul DiBenedetto*, Respondent pled guilty to 45 felony charges and
18 various enhancements, as follow:

19 (1) Count 1 - Grand Theft, a violation of Penal Code section 487(a), with the added
20 enhancements that the theft was an amount exceeding \$100,000 and that
21 Respondent intentionally took, damaged and destroyed property in excess of
22 \$150,000 during the commission and attempted commission of the offense.

23 (2) Counts 2 through 22 - Falsifying Records, violations of Penal Code section 471,
24 with the added enhancement that Respondent intentionally took, damaged and
25 destroyed property in excess of \$150,000 during the commission and attempted
26 commission of the offenses.

27 (3) Counts 23 through 43 - Fraudulent Computer Access, violations of Penal Code
28 section 502(c)(1), with the added enhancement that Respondent intentionally

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

took, damaged and destroyed property in excess of \$150,000 during the commission and attempted commission of the offenses.

(4) Counts 44 and 45 - Filing of False Tax Return, violations of Revenue and Taxation Code section 19705(a)(1), the added enhancement that Respondent intentionally took, damaged and destroyed property in excess of \$150,000 during the commission and attempted commission of the offenses.

B. Respondent was sentenced to 2 years in state prison, which prison time was suspended regarding all counts, but Respondent was ordered to serve 365 days in county jail and placed on 3 years formal probation. He was ordered to pay \$41,964.48 in restitution to the Franchise Tax Board regarding counts 44 and 45.

C. The facts and circumstances surrounding the convictions are that the charges arise from Respondent's employment as the Chief Financial Officer for MasterCraft Homes and relate to his illegal actions to benefit himself.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Willful Violation of Board Regulation)

6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 5100(g), for violating Board regulation, in conjunction with section 5063 in that he failed to report his felony convictions to the Board within 30 days, as required by section 5063.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:


- 1. Revoking, suspending or otherwise imposing discipline on CPA Certificate Number 73278, issued to Joe Paul DiBenedetto;
- 2. Ordering Joe Paul DiBenedetto to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107; and

///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: October 2, 2007


CAROL SIGMANN
Executive Officer
California Board of Accountancy
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

80164012.wpd